

**Title: Asking the Public for Help to Expose Scientific Fraud that  
Blocked Cancer Theories**

Thank you for your ..... letter to Surgeon General Jocelyn Elders ..... Dr. Elders asked that I respond...

We regret the difficulties that you have encountered at the University of Waterloo.

Winston J. Dean, J.D., M.P.H.

Senior Advisor

Office of the Surgeon General

October 17, 1994

“Due to our limited resources, TAF can assist in only a small number of cases each year..... Everything you have been through sounds awful.

Alan Shusterman, Esq

Associate Director

Taxpayers Against Fraud

September 17, 1997

The world community, ordinary people and legal experts alike, is asked for help. Please answer the question “IS LYING FOR U.S. FEDERAL MONIES (which would not be granted if the honest truth were told) FRAUD?” Yes, or no? The following Website took at least 180 hours of scanning to prepare and several thousand dollars. The Federal Police of Canada and the U.S. were told that the evidence would be placed on the internet for the world community’s consideration because corruption and cover-up are cited. Cover up and political favoritism /corruption is especially noted to Canada with the Federal Minister Boudria etc as a fact of life in Canadian politics. Everyone is asked to appreciate the time and effort taken to present the effort and note how the suppressed research has been repeatedly emphasized to the authorities as being necessary for the public good so no one can say it was done “frivolously” or “vexatiously”. These are slanderous terms that bad governments try to use hoping to discredit the victim when they try to cover up wrongdoing. The world community may ask that if you would spend so much effort, why not just do the research you claim is for the public good? Blacklisting does not allow you to do research or even receive employment, and so demonstrates the malicious nature of the people suppressing the research. The Canadian federal government must be scrutinized because

at any time the Health Minister could have helped the research, but instead my Charter of Rights and Freedoms have been violated and the Federal Government must be seen as reprehensible. Please review the documentation of fraud, suppression of cancer theory, the blacklisting, and realize it represents only ten percent that is available.

The World Community is asked to read the "Addendum" sent to the DOJ/Deputy Chief Farrington and the U.S. Congress Committee on Energy and Commerce, plus the material sent to the CEO of the Bank of Nova Scotia (Scholarship Fraud and Bad Biotechnology) and the e-mail to U.S. Senator Debbie Stabenow concerning research and healthcare costs (29 May, 2002). The research will benefit society but the blacklisting and harm can only be undone with help from you, the World Community.

Edward A. Greenhalgh  
May 29, 2002

## **PRECEDENT:**

President Geo. W. Bush had stated, "Justice may take time, but I'm a patient man."

**Fact:** There are no statutes of limitations for fraud committed against the U.S. Federal Government.

**Fact:** Cancer research theories were blocked so as to allow and cover up scholarship fraud at Yale.

No one could imagine a disaster like the WTC could happen because everyone believed that government had adequate safeguards to protect society; just as no one wants to believe that the same government would block cancer research in order to cover up scholarship fraud. Sept. 11/2001 proved the unimaginable does happen due to what the American Senator Richard Shelby called "bureaucratic bungling." And the public must know that it is true that the governments of Canada and the United States blocked cancer research theories in order to cover up federal fraud; please read the email sent on 05 Sept. 2001 to the U.S. Attorney General John Ashcroft for details.

Rachel Carson was frustrated by the very government sworn to protect the public when she warned of the misuse of pesticides (Silent Spring). Many experts claim they warned the government before 11 Sept. 01 and possibly it could have been prevented. The harm that is pointed out to the U.S. government, to Sec. Of HHS, Tommy Thompson and A. G. John Ashcroft in the Addendum should be avoided. To avoid the harm the research should be helped: it isn't. It is blacklisted. People with new ideas are shunned because others, less competent may lose their jobs. Tommy Thompson and NIH assure the public they are up to fighting bio-terrorism, yet they are allowing fraud to be covered up. Can tech save the world? How many tech stocks were worth 80 to 200 dollars prior to 2001 and how many are now worth mere dollars? Who lost. The public lost their savings. If the IGO and HHS allowed a lie in the past and didn't correct it, aren't the same people still in the same positions today? Why should the public believe they are safe? Read the material on the Web opening and sent to Tommy Thompson and John Ashcroft, then the Addendum, then make your decision if you are safe. It is your life to decide.

Also consider that America is comparing the WTC to Pearl Harbor. After Pearl Harbor, the turning point was Midway. Washington and office planners who got their positions through patronage and nepotism wanted to send the fleet to the Aleutian Islands. One dedicated code breaker proved it was Midway, but Halsey had to fight to keep the Fleet at Midway. Consider this when reading the material.

The Midway scenario is cited because both the military and public must make decisions; and the intelligence must be good. Circa 26 Oct. 01 there is the debate whether or not the anthrax vaccine is safe, and "independent" studies (like the "independent" silicon implant studies) are cited and challenged with claims of Gulf War sickness. If the federal U. S. government will block cancer research to cover up fraud and scientific misconduct by denying the existence of documents proving research they funded was described in derogatory terms, then it is conceivable that there could be hidden reports concerning the anthrax vaccine. The elite (Congress and Senate) went home under the anthrax threat while ordinary people (postal workers) had to remain on the job. Scientific integrity and honesty are more important than ever, and people must be able to turn to a truthful government willing to enforce federal standards. This case is about the public good, and the public is asked for help.

Both countries were told that if they continued to cover up scientific misconduct and fraud, the material would be placed on the internet for the public and international legal community to offer their opinions and to ask their help.

The issues of scientific and political corruption effect the public who can demand changes to protect themselves from harm: biological-technological disasters. In the aftermath of the World Trade Centres, experts said changes should have been made years in advance, so now is the time for the public to ask for the changes which may save their lives. Note that on the 19<sup>th</sup> of Sept. 01, Whitehouse spokesperson, Ari Fleischer stated the "America must be prepared for bio-technical weapons." But what if this disaster is NOT caused by terrorists but rather by corrupt politicians and incompetent scientists right at universities in America? The people killed at the World Trade Centres represent more people killed in any single day of battle ever fought by America so experts say, but a new biological disease could kill as many as 50 million Americans in a few months: more than all who died in WW2. Please read the Addendum material sent to Deputy Chief of Public Integrity, Jo Ann Farrington (and the Energy and Commerce Committee of

## **EMAILS**

**Email to John Ashcroft  
Attorney General of the United States  
USDOJ:Office of Attorney General John  
Ashcroft**

Date: 05 September 2001

### **TITLE: FAILURE OF ASSURANCES**

If lying to receive federal monies is not fraud, then the killing of embryos is neither unethical nor murder.

Failure of the U.S. Inspector General's Office Blocked Cancer Research.

Dear Attorney General, John Ashcroft:

Thank you for receiving this serious email which will be the opening page of a website. Both the Canadian federal police, the RCMP and the U.S. Justice Department were told that material they received would be open to scrutiny on the web by the international legal community and the public so they could make a concensus: and to ask for help. The RCMP did say the case could be re-opened for new evidence or other, while your Deputy Chief of Public Integrity, Jo Ann Farrington, said I was sincere in my beliefs. I believe I have been dealing with political corruption, which requires exposure and the public's

Congress). Many people warned America of the terrorists before the World Trade Centre disaster but no one listened. Will you listen to concerns of another threat to your own health and safety?

On the 17<sup>th</sup> of Sept. 01, A.G. John Ashcroft said we need new laws to deal with technical crimes. Please review the material sent to the DOJ and the RCMP and answer the questions they were asked (but refused to answer). Do we need new laws or honest competent people to honestly enforce existing laws ( not held back so to cover up political corruption) and standards? It is no good for Senator Richard Shelby, of the Intelligence Committee, to rail about "bureaucratic bungling" or "CIA-FBI-NSA debacles" (the horse has already left the barn), when the Inspector General's Office-Health and Human Services will refuse to enforce regulations, in effect blocking cancer research so as to cover up fraud in order to protect Yale University and foreign politicians. Unbelievable, download the evidence presented to the DOJ.

Many are "railing" about the CIA's dependence on "hi-tech" and avoiding the human element; the DOJ was given the example of the CIA traitor who was a Yale graduate and was warned by many people friendly to the United States, yet the CIA refused to act. I have gone to the IGO-HHS warning of federal violations and fraud and maybe more importantly (to the public), the loss of cancer research and the federal U.S. government can be proven to have entered into a conspiracy to cover up. The U.S. government blocked (they could have helped but for political reasons chose to block) cancer research. More Americans each year die of cancer than were killed at the W.T.C. Bureaucratic bungling and an intelligence debacle.

And about Yale's reputation, why isn't the CIA asking the Yale psychics to find bin Laden since the CIA (dependence on hi-tech) spent 100's of thousands of tax dollars funding "psychic spies" at Yale. If this wasn't wasted tax dollars the CIA-Yale psychics should have prevented the WTC disaster. They did not and now everyone is talking about the need for "old-fashioned" human intelligence. In this regard, please

help.

The Attorney General, John Ashcroft, is directly contacted because of his public stand on his religious beliefs. It is no good to say the words, you must prove your conviction. My thesis acknowledgement reads, "to my parents who instilled in me an appreciation for honesty, hard work and a belief in God." I have stood by my beliefs and would not lie. Do you stand by yours? Will you lie? The questions everyone has been asked (and refuse to answer) are:

1. Would the U.S. governments give research monies for work officially described in derogatory terms (i.e., it is so bad it is shit!) unless it were lied to?
2. Is lying for federal monies fraud?

It is easy to claim faith when there is no threat, but when the Romans came for Jesus, his disciples forgot who he was. Do you? I would not lie; will you answer the questions?

The questions are important to society because I am a Reproductive Scientist and Reproductive Science involves Stem Cell research and President Bush is assuring the public by requiring foreign institutes to give ASSURANCES of compliance in order to receive federal U.S. monies. My case proves these assurances can be nothing more than deliberate lies. Does President Bush demand truthful assurances, or is he, like his predecessor, Pres. Clinton, willing to lie to the American people? The material has been presented to both federal police and cover up is alleged, but issues of public concern will be outlined.

Documented evidence proves that valuable cancer theories were blocked for 14 years to cover up scientific misconduct and federal fraud, proving all assurances given to the U.S. federal governments were false. The U.S. taxpayer does not give monies to block answers to cancer, but to find them. Now the U.S. DOJ does not want to enforce the law, to prove the fact that the

realize that I have been blacklisted from following up cancer theories and other research of medical value to the taxpayer in order to protect Yale and Waterloo Universities. Universities that would block research of value to cancer in order to promote scholarship fraud for research officially described as so bad that derogatory terms had to be applied must be held up to public scrutiny. To protect the reputation of Dr. H.R. Behrman, head of Ob. & Gyn at Yale the American public may be put at risk (read Addendum) just like the CIA protected the Yale graduate who turned out to be a traitor (and caused the harm to the "Pueblo"). The way to answer this question is to have Dr. Behrman's 1986 publications compared directly to the suppressed Greenhalgh thesis; and then the 1990 Riley and Behrman publications; and then the 1987 Cell Death Signal theory genetic expression material as explained to the Canadian Ministry of Health, compared to the 2001 Nature publication of the executioner gene by the University Of Toronto group. Essentially cancer research was blacklisted for 14 years to cover up scholarship fraud at Yale University by the University of Waterloo (Canada). And the Inspector General's Office of the United States instead of protecting the U.S. taxpayers and American public, instead of helping cancer research, blocked it for bad reasons: just like the CIA.

Once more we return to the precedence of President George W. Bush: "Justice may take time, but I'm a patient man." This case is about justice so the deterrent value of the law will protect society from people who falsely believe that they are above accountability.

Please read the e-mail to A.G. John Ashcroft, especially the section on "Truthful Assurances" as the scientific community no longer believes in the need for or the urgency of truthful and honest research as both journals of Endocrinology in the U.S. and U.K. made bad decisions regarding plagiarism by J. C. Carlson and Masaki Sawada, especially since the Canadian Ministry of Health had officially classified the J. C. Carlson research in derogatory terms. Their actions to cover up bad and unethical

Canadian Government gave deliberate false assurances; and the U.S. Inspector General's Office covered up. The reason is to protect two rich, elite, universities: Waterloo and Yale. Point: If these institutes will give deliberate false assurances to the U.S. government, then why won't the foreign institutes receiving U.S. monies for Stem Cell research? And why won't the U.S. government cover this up too? After all, cancer research was blocked. What is worse: letting Americans die of cancer or killing embryos, as they are both a life? Fact: Reproductive scientists are proven to have lied to the U.S. government, giving blatant and deliberate (lies), false assurances for money. And to keep the money flowing, block research of value to cancer. Documented and proven!

Why won't the Stem Cell institutes lie to the U.S. government if you refuse to enforce the law? The law's only value is as a deterrent and if there is no enforcement, then the law and all the assurances in the world (including President Bush's assurances to the American people and concerns over Stem Cells and the misuse) are useless. Is President Bush honest and respects the deterrent value of the law? The answer is whether or not you and he, will enforce the law. Again, is lying to receive federal monies fraud?

#### The Worthlessness of Scientific Assurances and Advice to Michael J. Fox

Nobel prize winner Kary Mullis has said that most cancer researchers are lying to keep their funding. I, myself, had cancer (like Lance Armstrong and Scott Hamilton) but used my theories, while turning down chemo and radiation, and will be considered cured as of 2002. Without any side effects or tumours. This research would have been valuable to Americans, but now they must consider the (black listing) rejections given by American Institutes: Sloane Kettering, R.A. Bloch, Carol M. Baldwin and Huntsman. Emphasize: Institutes. Emphasize: my theories are all vindicated while my critics' are described in derogatory terms.

What people like Michael J. Fox must learn from my case, is that researchers

research and not help an honest and truthful researcher explains why Mad Cow Disease destroyed the British beef industry and HIV and Hepatitis C contaminated the world's blood supplies. What the world must seriously consider is the Addendum sent to the DOJ and if the Head of OB & Gyn at Yale can not tell the difference between "shit" and science; or does not even care as long as he receives grant monies; just how safe is much of the research in the biological tech-field? Especially if the American federal government refuses to enforce federal regulations.

Perhaps the very low level of scientific standards is best represented by the journal, Endocrinology U.S.A. and its lack of concern for the public health and safety (if they care or not), which is summed up in the attitude of Dr. Barker whose reply is paraphrased as plagiarism can't exist because J. C. Carlson was your "mentor"; and even if he is wrong and your concerns about cancer are correct, sooner or later someone else will discover the same thing you have anyway. Endocrinology doesn't want to admit that there are bad scientists just like the army didn't want to admit their "mentors"-training sergeants were raping young women recruits. The U.S. army could only put their heads in the sand for so long: a public outcry helped. And Endocrinology's USA second part about cancer research as a "sooner or later" proposition must be an outrage to anyone who has lost a loved one to the disease. The truth of the matter is, had Endocrinology any real concerns for the American people a cancer discovery would not have taken until 2001. Maybe a cure could have been on the market, but Endocrinology USA was not concerned about the American public's health and safety but they appeared to be more worried about covering up for the Canadian government and Dr. H. R. Behrman at Yale. So the public should be very concerned because if a major journal like Endocrinology USA doesn't care, then a biological disaster as outlined to the DOJ in the Addendum is most likely to occur. The public health and safety is the issue and bad scientists represent a threat.

In the 05 Sept. 01 e-mail to A. G. John Ashcroft, there are concerns about

governments and institutes, DO NOT honour assurances or standards, but they just want the money to roll in, no matter if people suffer and die. Since I had cancer, I do appreciate that Michael J. Fox wants a miracle, but unless he can have proof that the researchers are honest, they may just use him to raise money and let him become a helpless cripple. Sad, but I have dealt with many people who only want to use the sick.

How you can, Attorney General Ashcroft (and Pres. Bush) ensure that Michael J. Fox and others with his disease are not cheated, is by enforcing the law! President Bush supports the death penalty because it is a deterrent. People argue that poor innocent people have been executed. This case involves the rich elite (Marcus Rich is elite) of the Universities of Waterloo and Yale. Are they above the law? Please note that if you do not enforce the law, there is NO deterrent, and the institutes that you are giving Stem Cell research monies to, are the rich, elite of their countries. Why shouldn't they lie to you; give false assurances, because you will do nothing?

The University of Waterloo (Canada) gave deliberate, false assurances in a joint venture with Yale. They had to suppress cancer research, to keep receiving monies for dozens of outdated researchers. The new theory would have made a 14 year leap proving a great benefit to the American taxpayer. But they gave false assurances and the American taxpayer was cheated. Michael J. Fox, you want a miracle and are raising money, but what if the researchers go down the wrong path, while one researcher has your miracle, but it means the many will have their money cut off? Why should they tell the truth and help you? The proven fact from Waterloo and Yale is that they WON'T. To continue the funding, they will suppress your miracle. And they will do this because they have nothing to fear. The IGO is proven to cover up scientific misconduct and the DOJ will not enforce the law. Without a deterrent, no one has any fear of being caught lying. Which means assurances are patently false, and sick people will be cheated.

The Moral Issue of Stem Cell Research:  
Killing Embryos.

"Truthful Assurances" as the scientific community no longer cares about honesty or standards have sunk so low that they actually can not tell the difference between the truth and lying; nor care if harm occurs, believing they can lie away accountability. What if the disaster is too big to be lied away, with deaths in the thousands, or millions? It is very similar to the Bin Laden disaster, for years the CIA simply dismissed human intelligence to be awed by "pie in the sky" technology-the scientific community keeps talking about would be wonders while tainted blood disasters occur. Truth is more important than would be marvels. The evidence actually proves that Dr. H. R. Behrman (head of OB. & Gyn. at Yale) can't tell the difference between "shit" and science; or does not care as long as he continues to receive federal monies. With this attitude, what good is he to the public well being? The evidence proves he is guilty of plagiarism and that the IGO-HHS instead of being a watchdog protecting the public by enforcing federal regulations, actually helped to block cancer research in order to protect him (not the public)! The IGO was derelict in its duty and responsibility to the public, and the DOJ by refusing to enforce the law is covering up for everyone. And when government covers up wrongdoing, the public must be asked for help.

### **Blacklisting as Terrorism.**

To use a quote by Pres. Bush but in a different context; you are either with the public good, or you are the enemy of the public good. What the public doesn't know can kill them; HIV in the blood supply, allowing American radiation workers to die in the 40's, 50's, etc. Politicians have condemned the WTC terrorists as dishonest and cowardly, not willing to act in the open. The scientific community, whom I have dealt with, is no better because their blacklisting, especially the cancer theories cause thousands of unnecessary deaths. Their blacklisting helps no one, but private personal gain. Bad scientists and journals must be seen in the same light as the Taliban, bad religious clerics are not representative of the good but they cause the most harm. Therefore in light of President Bush's speech good scientists

What if Stem Cell Research does not pan out for, say, 14 years? What if alternative treatments could work and be developed sooner, but private interests can get rich, on genetically patented cell lines, from the embryos of paid call girls in the third world (like the black market in human organs) and these rich business people only allow the patented cell lines to be used in the U.S.? Please remember the trouble still lingering in the U.S. from the slave trade, where because of African civil wars, they sold their own countrymen into slavery. Does the U.S. really need this kind of problem? Will the U.S. let poor call girls sell their genetic patents? The issues are very serious and revolve around signed assurances, ethics and personal beliefs. My stand for my beliefs is well documented.

Not all reproductive scientists are bad or liars, but you are hamstringing the good ones. Why would anyone risk their career to warn about false assurances, if the U.S. government has NO intention of enforcing standards, regulations and laws. It makes no sense. So, why should the American people believe President Bush when he says he will receive signed assurances about Stem Cell research? When has the American government ever enforced the expectations of these assurances in the past? My case proves this does not happen. What has happened is denial of wrongdoing, obstruction of justice by the very authorities whose duty is to enforce regulations to the point the authorities are AIDING AND ABETTING THE EVASION OF JUSTICE. Private citizens, if Signed Assurances were any good, shouldn't have to go the public for help. The issues are so serious when governments lie and assurances become merely propaganda. Some people are afraid that there could develop an international trade in dead babies. Ludicrous? No more than governments blocking cancer research so work described in derogatory terms could get federal U.S. monies. Is there truth to the Assurances, and a real deterrent. Please answer the question: Is lying for U.S. federal tax dollars fraud? Please note, no one in either the Canadian or American governments would answer that question. It is a very simple question. And what this case really breaks down to, is that elite

are asked to speak up against academic terrorism-blacklisting so advances in science aren't held back.

**Example: Silicon Breast Implants**

In the controversy, studies funded by silicon producers found no harm to women, and a child testified to Congress that she would not be alive without the silicon shunt in her head. What is the truth and how limited should good scientists be in finding answers? For example, in the Ford-Firestone fiasco, do you concentrate on inflation or tire design or if outdated compounds were used: what is misleading and should a researcher be allowed to tell the whole truth to protect the public without fear of losing a career? So what is misleading with silicon may not be the chemical itself but its properties, an environment its physical nature creates. This is a more important question than dodging liabilities, valuable data in treating disease may be lost by a charade confusing the issues (like the universities of Yale and Waterloo have sought to create confusion and to dodge issues and accountability: i.e., is lying scientific misconduct). Women have complained of being sick and two groups of doctors have two different answers. One fact (avoided) is that implants are removed black with infection: which represents important data that could be used to study disease, but lost in the bureaucratic bungling. There is a cell culture technique that use microcarriers (tiny plastic beads) that cells grow on in culture from which they secrete product which is processed. Silicon implants for whatever reason (shear coefficient) may create many flat surfaces for bacteria, fungi-viral growth in these implant incubators producing harmful toxins and intermediaries producing the illnesses: from genetic activation to infection; and could help in our understanding of diseases such as chronic fatigue syndrome, to MS to cancer, to... Again, this research has grown from an extension of the Viroid Thermodynamic Theory on the Origin of Life (V.T.T.), but because I have been blacklisted by the scientific community, perhaps the government of the United States itself, I have been unable to find anyone who will help me do this work of value to the public.

institutes were caught giving deliberate, false assurances and neither government is willing to enforce regulations and the law: No deterrent. What good are assurances? What good are public pronouncements of faith and conviction if you won't follow through?

The question is simple, Attorney General Ashcroft: Will you answer it? The whole point of this letter and asking the public to answer the same question, is to demonstrate the horrible ordeal an honest scientist must go through to uphold standards. If this is what one has to deal with, to develop new cancer answers, then what good are government's assurances? The answer appears to be none. Shall we see what the public has to say, or will you answer the question and enforce the law?

Thank you.

Very truly,

Edward A. Greenhalgh.

**Email To Tommy Thompson  
Secretary of Health and Human Services  
Dated: October 23, 2001**

Dear Secretary Thompson:

Regarding your testimony before the U.S. Congress, October 22, 2001 on Research regarding Biological Terrorism and government response. You are asked to review the attachment for the Website opening I am preparing, to ask the American people and international community for help. Please read the email sent to A.G. John Ashcroft; and then you should ask Mr. Ken Johnston, the Press Officer for Congress' Energy and Commerce Committee (received June 19, 2001) for the Addendum material (also ask DOJ and Deputy Chief Jo-Ann Farrington). It is directly relevant to the National Security of the United States. The U.S. government has blocked research of importance to the American people; and national security. Before establishing the website and asking the American people for their help directly, your response would be appreciated.

Thank you,  
Edward A. Greenhalgh.



**Fact:** At any time authorities in the United States government could have said, let's help this person as it will benefit the American people. Instead, they have acted to cover up. Please read the material sent to the DOJ and the RCMP for more detail.

In the Addendum sent to the DOJ in August of 2001, before WTC 11 Sept. 01, I told the U.S. government that they were not ready for a biological threat, mentioning Anthrax, plus others more deadly. Since then, the U.S. government has reached the same conclusions, plans are not even in place, nor have they been practised. People on CNN have commented that the terrorists have been preparing for years, but we are not ready; perhaps you should get ready by helping a scientist who has worked hard and endured much to raise important issues for the public health and safety. Your safety and the lives of your loved ones.

What the American and Canadian public must do is read the 1988 letter to the Medical Research Council of Canada (Canada's Health Ministry) where Cell Death Signal Theory is explained and the government is asked, "Wouldn't honest cancer researchers want to know?" Then they must consider all the government agencies and private companies it was explained to, until in 09 Sept. 01 Peter Meldrum CEO of Myriad Genetico tells CNBC about their new find of a protein and Cell Death Signaling program and how in about 5 years they may have a product for many cancers, including breast cancer. They must do the math 2001 plus 5 years is 2006; whereas 1988 plus 5 years would have been 1993. Ask yourselves, how many people have died who did not have to? Both governments (United States and Canada) have played a game blocking research and not helping. Please be sure to read the Addendum sent to the DOJ in August 2001 and ask yourselves; should the governments continue to play games blocking research, or should they be helping?

It is no good to claim a belief in God and then kill innocent people by crashing a plane into the WTC. Believing in God, at least my belief, is not causing harm but doing good to build a better world. I have

The material on the website is not about suppressed cancer/AIDS research, but about the public health and safety: accountability and truthfulness from both the scientific community and government. If there is no help then theories of the new research can not go forward to help protect that same public health and safety. The Anthrax (letters) which have killed Americans were most likely developed in American labs: and may have been given to Saddam Hussein along with other weapons by the American government. That represents a lack of foresight which the public must be accountable for (with their lives). Neither Mr. Ashcroft nor Mr. Thompson responded to their emails: denial, like the denial of giving arms to Iraq was bad.

BIOTECHNOLOGY can represent many good things for society, but bad biotechnology could kill millions (read Addendum). CNN gave a computer graphics display of the damage per area of a nuclear bomb vs. a chemical weapon vs. a biological weapon. The biological weapon caused the most deaths. My theories have been vindicated, but I have suffered civil and legal rights violations causing extraordinary harm to life and career. I turn to the world community for help so to reach out for the funding needed for the work and to contact honest researchers and institutes. The theory is to follow up V.T.T. and see how new diseases develop, especially from a biotechnologically engineered organism; and perhaps prevent a deadly epidemic. That is the real point of the website.

#### **POSTSCRIPT TO PRESIDENT BUSH:**

Circa Dec. 2001, American T.V. did an expose on the abuse by the American government of misusing polygraph testing so that honest Americans had their careers destroyed: labeled security risks. An expert acknowledged that the Yale graduate and CIA spy, Ames had passed his polygraph and continued to be a security risk causing harm. Please note from this website listing the e-mails to A.G. John Ashcroft and H.H.S. Sec. Tommy Thompson, both have been informed of a very realistic threat to national security and felony fraud, yet each

asked the U.S. Attorney General, John Ashcroft about his own belief in God, but have not received his reply. Christ said cast your bread on the waters and they will be returned to you many fold. What he meant is that if you do good and need help, reach out to good people and the good will be done. If our society is made up of bad people, my efforts will be in vain, but I now ask the world community to review the material sent to the federal police and answer the question: Is lying for federal monies fraud? There are more important issues at stake.

I will close by repeating President George W. Bush: "Justice may take time, but I'm a patient man."

This whole case is about justice and the public good.

Thank you,

Edward A. Greenhalgh.

Click here to down load material sent to Deputy Chief JoAnn Farrington of the DOJ

Click here to download material sent to the RCMP Public Complaints Commission

email c/o Benchmark

have failed to enforce existing federal regulations and laws. Fraud is fraud, and cancer research of value to the American taxpayer was blocked. The deterrent value of the law was repeatedly cited: to send a signal to bad scientists (like a domestic scientist who would put anthrax in the mail) that they are not above the law. America is incredulous that many Muslims refuse to believe the Bin Laden video tape, confirming his part in the WTC disaster; but how is this any different than U.S. law enforcement agencies and HHS/NIH refusing to act against a conspiracy by a Yale professor, to promote scholarship fraud and block cancer research? They are acts by bad people and all must be equally held accountable under the law.

Pres. Bush, you say that you desire justice, well so do I and ask that you see that the law is enforced. You cannot be astonished by Muslims protecting their extended family if you, yourself, will shield your old Alma Mater, Yale, from the law. If you really do want to prove to the world community that you are a just President whose only interest is in honest law enforcement and justice, please answer the question that I have asked everyone: "Is lying for federal monies fraud? Yes or no?" It is that simple. And now the world community can answer these questions and see if they reach the same answer as you do.

#### **IMPORTANT FACT FOR THE AMERICAN PUBLIC:**

A federal employee under PHS/HHS covered up federal regulation violations by deliberate dereliction of duty: i.e., he lied. The scanning alone (by a professional company for this website) of the material sent to this federal employee exceeded 20 hours, yet, he received this voluminous material on a Friday and was able to return it by Monday when the U.S. government had been shut down by a political fight (Clinton vs. Gingrich). He could not even have read the evidence, let alone make a finding, therefore, it is proven that a federal employee lied to cover up federal regulation violations. This, of itself, is a violation of federal regulations (and the public trust),

and may even be a felony: obstruction of justice. The American public should be concerned when PHS/HHS covers up wrongdoing as it affects their safety, for one example only, who can honestly say the government hasn't covered up/withheld evidence of failings with the anthrax vaccine to avoid liability? The safety, inherent in the enforcement of federal regulations, must be questioned if the government refuses to honestly enforce these very same regulations.

Withholding data can harm the American (any) public, as proven by HIV in blood products, and most recently with ENRON: the top people were able to sell off while ordinary people lost their life savings. In Erin Brockovitch, PG & E withheld knowledge that lead to the unnecessary deaths due to cancers. Blocking research and withholding evidence does harm people. When government covers up to protect special interests who violate federal regulations, even federal laws, in order to experience personal gain, it is ordinary people who suffer. President Bush's attorney general is bringing federal charges against an individual American (the Taliban fighter) for conspiracy to kill Americans. A serious charge, but why then, after repeatedly asking the U.S. federal personnel, "do you want to kill Americans?" haven't they acted with felony charges, or the very least federal (PHS) regulation violations? A possible answer is that the government wants to protect friends and special interest lobbies, and this case will set a serious precedent. Example: do tobacco lobbies (think ENRON shredding) have the results of privately funded experiments where the data linked a cause of death but they withheld this knowledge in order to make money? Is that not a conspiracy to kill Americans? What about a drug lobby who had data knowing a percentage of the population would die? Isn't that conspiracy to kill Americans? So it is alleged that the government does not want to enforce the law because of the serious precedent that would be set. And which is why I must ask the public for help so the research can continue; to be free of blacklisting and confidentiality clauses; and to be introduced to honest researchers. The

research is in the public's best interest; hence you are asked for help.

Thank you,

E. A. Greenhalgh.

**E-mail to Senator Debbie Stabenow  
Senator**

**Dated: June 5, 2002**

[Senator@STABENOW.Senate.Gov](mailto:Senator@STABENOW.Senate.Gov)

Dear Senator Debbie Stabenow:

Regarding your concerns on the high cost of prescription drugs, healthcare and taxpayers' monies through NIH going to research and the pharmaceutical industry, read the attachment if you are committed to lowering costs and helping to discover new cures. (Attachment entitled "Cancer Fraud") University administrations and their assurances for receiving federal (tax payer's) monies must be regarded as the administrators of ENRON. Cancer and other research valuable to lowering health care costs and saving American lives has been blocked in a conspiracy. Attorney General Ashcroft and Health Secretary Tommy Thompson are unable or unwilling to deal with federal violations and threats to the public safety, as has been proven by the ignored warnings before 911. To this, please read my warnings to the DOJ on anthrax dated before August 01. This is why, the federal government's willingness to conspire to cover up, I must go to the world community for help, which includes the American taxpayers, the people who vote for you.

Fact: The governments of Canada and the United States entered into a conspiracy to commit scholarship fraud at Yale and to cover this up, they had to suppress research that would have lowered health care costs and saved lives. The research includes cancer and cell reproduction (see comments regarding why stem cell research is not necessary). The research would be valuable to the American people, who must ask why wasn't it brought to the U.S.A. if Canada was the problem? Why couldn't a pharmaceutical company (like Amgen and Glaxo, who have

Plagiarized the theory at the University of Toronto) developed the research at an American university in 1992? Why did they let Americans die to cover up a Canadian Fraud?

The facts are that the U.S. government through NIH gives grants so Canadian Universities will hire American professors. Hence, this is in U.S. federal criminal jurisdiction. The drug companies depend on good relationships with both governments to sell their product, so if Canada wants to blacklist a researcher, the U.S. government will back Canada, and no drug company will go against the U.S. government.

Fact: research fraud occurred in Canada so an artificially qualified Canadian student could receive a NIH scholarship at Yale. This meant blocking cancer and other important research to cover up the research fraud. It is all explained in the attached/Website "Cancer Fraud".

It is proven that NIH officials lied in writing and committed other obstructions rather than enforce federal regulations and laws. Cancer research was blocked. Fact.

Canadian and American universities are bilking the taxpayers and the federal government is allowing them to lie. They do this because, like Enron, Yale has political connections. The U.S. federal government would rather let American taxpayers die and waste their money so unqualified wealthy kids can have guaranteed jobs. Ashcroft and Thompson do not have the courage to enforce the law: which is why 911 occurred. I have asked numerous American officials "Why do you want Americans to die?". Read the Addendum sent to the DOJ and the theoretical outline to CEO of the Bank of Nova Scotia. The New research is valuable to lowering health care costs and saving lives. Do you, Senator Stabenow, want Americans to die? I am asking that the law and federal regulations be enforced. Will you see to it that they are? The Website is for the whole world community including the American taxpayers and voters to read the material, so they may decide if criminal and scientific misconduct occurred. The research on genetic modification causing "new" diseases is very important.

Will you act in the best interests of humanity? Your voters?

Thank you.  
Edward Greenhalgh

**E-mail to Dr. Jane Rissler and the Union of Concerned Scientists:**

**Dated: June 5, 2002**

Dear Dr. Jane Rissler:

You are contacted because of the PBS documentary "Harvest of Fear". Attached to this email is a very serious and large attachment entitled "Cancer Fraud, soon to be a website for the world community to judge. In the material are Dr. James Lovelock's (GAIA Theory) comments concerning the hostility to my work and all he can do is wish me luck. Imagine how advanced we'd be if Galios, Semelweiss and McClintock had been helped instead of hated. Of direct concern to you are the "Addendum" (sent to Deputy Chief Farrington of the DOJ) and "Scholarship Fraud and Bad Biotechnology" (sent to the CEO of the Bank of Nova Scotia).

They outline how new diseases may be created by bad biotech, and if my research were helped, disasters could be avoided. Good scientists use good science to prevent harm, but due to blacklisting and academic slander, people are afraid to work with me. Some scientists are like children, hiding in denial (or afraid of government reprisal), who blame their sibling for being abused instead of the parent (university/government) who has a mental problem and needs corrective treatment,

If you really are a concerned and good group, after reading the material, you will help me do the research because it is important to society. Let me illustrate with one specific example. In the Cancer Fraud material, you will find correspondence to Health Canada (MRC) stating that the evidence proves that membrane fluidity is not as important as other physical aspects are and these may be important to cancer research. "And wouldn't honest cancer researchers want to know?" Unfortunately many, many researchers had their grants and careers geared to membrane fluidity and not knowing.

By being limited to one narrow concept and blacklisting me, they limited research, cost the tax payers billions of dollars and caused people to die and suffer. In my 1992 research proposals, I outlined that developments in cell culture could let people grow their own cells and organs, but membrane fluidity was not a necessary concept. In 2002, a team from Norway grew T-cells from skin cells by "roughing up" physically the cell membrane making them more porous, a concept outside of membrane fluidity. The point is, my theories have been proven correct and advanced so my concerns about biotech diseases must be examined publicly, to get around the blacklisting. To this, any government and scientists who blacklist good work to protect institutions and academics whom derogatory terms are officially applied must be viewed as incompetent and dangerous to the public. They will promote dangerous bad biotech precisely because they are incompetent and unable to grasp life-threatening concepts. The Tainted Blood disaster is such an example.

911 reinforces the fact that government must change and not be directed by cronyism. The terrorist have been shown now to have pre-rehearsed at least 19 times and were caught on tape. So, given my research theories being recorded as being correct shouldn't good scientists and institutes want to help? After all, it is not collegiate, not good academics to slander and blacklist a scientist in order to cover up academic dishonesty. I hope that the Union of Concerned Scientists would have the integrity to agree; the Dr. Barbara McClintock example is cited again. The public will support you after they have had a chance to read the material too. I look forward to your response.

Edward A. Greenhalgh