

E.A.Greenhalgh

1

1603-75 York St.,
Kitchener, On. Ca. N2G 1T5
Ph. 1-519-579-8320

August 2, 2005

Letter of Introduction

Title: I May Care More For Your Children Than You Do!
Precedent. US General Billy Mitchell

Hank McKinnell
CEO and Chairman
Pfizer
235 East 42 St.,
N.Y, N.Y. 10017 USA
Ph., 1-212-733-2323

Dear CEO Hank McKinnell:

In response to your PBS/Charlie Rose appearance promoting your book, "A Call to Action " I have sent you this serious material. General Mitchell was also a call to action as a man who stood up for his beliefs for a safer society. I too have stood up in the name of the public good and hope as such that you will hear me out since you are a person in a position to do good if you so choose. Who am I? A scientist who has published three single author papers without a PhD and has been blacklisted so much so that a former US Surgeon General regretted my treatment. Whose theories Noam Chomsky has said may be of phenomenal importance to mankind. All noted on my website, www.cancerfraudbadbiotech.com where you should read the 2004 revised section as it is very important. Further revisions are in progress with a massive email campaign planned. What you need to see is the discussion on evolution and the part flus play: please note the comments on SARS becoming airborne and avian flu being directly transmissible to humans long before the "experts" found the same answer (important consideration). Big Point: AIDS is explained in the Viroid Thermodynamic Theory on the Origin of Life (VTT, see Dr.Lovelock's comments, Coombe Mill letter on the website menu) as an evolutionary event. Africa will survive AIDS with a reduced population, but they will be changed genetically to a "new" man while we in the west will not have changed. And they will develop new flus, which they will be resistant to but we will not. Hence, the new man will repopulate the environment we vacant when we die from the new flus. An evolutionary mechanism for change as explained on the website. How much do you love your kids? Enough to help the research?

See the enclosed serious material: April 2005 letter to RCMP Commissioner Zaccardelli and the June 2005 reply (admits it is important for a national debate, but

avoids doing his duty), emails proposals to Merck, Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and others. Point I asked Melinda Gates, as a mother what she should do to protect her children so the public could compare her reply to their own. I also asked PM Paul Martin if he was a bad grandpa? Martin did nothing and the Gates gave a strange form reply: They made no conscious answer but talked about colleagues? I asked serious questions, so how can scientific advances be made if such a powerful man as Bill Gates can't even read, or more importantly, doesn't want to? Re call Gen. Mitchell, did anyone listen? I am approaching you, Pfizer as a demonstration (to the public), the lights are on, but is anyone home?

There are too many gatekeepers in our society simply blocking the way. No intelligence is necessary just rote. How can you advance discoveries if people are willing to cover up wrongdoing? Simply censoring Gen. Mitchell didn't make your country safer did it? Point of fact, the University of Waterloo committed academic fraud in order to do a friend's kid a favour; it did not matter if they blocked cancer research. Drs. Thompson and M.M.Buhr found it necessary for their advancements. They could not comprehend the simple chemistry of rigor mortis. If you ask them NOW they can explain it clearly, but at the critical moment in history they couldn't comprehend. Like VTT and new flus now. And the Endocrinological Society betrayed the public when they replied in 92 that the Cell Death Signal Gene would be important to cancer BUT sooner or later someone else would find the same thing. Is this the research philosophy of Pfizer too? If it is then maybe you now have your answer for Charlie Rose when he asked you why the public mistrusts the pharmaceutical industry. And industry standards are set by the lowest standard industry is willing to accept. Is the Pfizer standard the UW/Riley standard that is officially described in derogatory terms? Acceptance means you do nothing, a higher standard is demonstrated by helping those who demand better. I demand better. Do you love your children? What standard is good enough for them?

I am asking Pfizer for help to fund the completion of the Viroid Thermodynamic Theory on the Origin of Life based on the fact that my work has been proven to be correct on many levels. The reason I am black listed is that many corrupt people simply do not want to honestly and openly compete. They want accomplices to be bad scientists and bad human beings. What I found in 87 with Cell Death Signal Gene was that many scientists were simply making up research and referencing false references and other bad science practices. This has been all proven over the years. By funding VTT, as explained to Merck and others, I would be able to repeat what I did with Cell Death and compare all the literature making important contrasts and observations that would clear away many unsubstantiated and bad science theories so important work could proceed. Sounds too simple, but be aware a biology PhD was granted to C-physics BSc and many high ranking US government officials went along with the cover up. Then the compiled result of VTT could be presented in a professional and COLLEGIATE manner in an UNBIASED presentation for public debate. What could be fairer? You need $E=MC^2$ on paper before any atom could be split.

Is this a final proposal? No. This is a letter of introduction to discover if you really love your children or are just another gatekeeper. Bright good people meet to have a dialogue to exchange ideas. I look forward to your reply. Read the 2004 revised section on my website, then think deeply.

Thank you,

Edward A.Greenhalgh

