Edward A. Greenhalgh Suite 1603 75 York Street Kitchener, Ontario N2G 1T5

Jo Ann Farrington
Deputy Chief
Public Integrity Section
Criminal Division
U.S. Dept. of Justice
10th Street
Constitutional Ave. N. W.
Washington, D.C.
20530

Courier Delivered
For Public Domain and Disclosure

tel: 202-514-1412

TITLE: SUBPOENA THE NECESSARY DOCUMENTS OR A COVER UP IS PROVEN.

Motive for cover up is to avoid public embarrassment from suppressing Cancer Research to protect corrupt officials and politics.

Dear Deputy Chief Jo Ann Farrington,

Thank you for your letter dated May 14, 2001 and no disrespect is meant, but I must submit that an incorrect statement was made since <u>all</u> the materials submitted were factual. So I am re-submitting the letter dated February 7, 2000 originally addressed to A.G., Janet Reno titled, "Evidence of Conspiracy to Cover Up Federal Fraud…", since on page 2 it states:

"the documented evidence of fraud (especially the MRCC report) is available to the DOJ, ..., the DOJ has the authority to request said document for a federal U.S. criminal inquiry/indictment. The <u>only</u> reason, in the face of hard copy documentation, to refuse to request evidence and properly investigate would be due to political coercion, ..., to cover up."

Deputy Chief Farrington, when federal fraud/crime is reported to the DOJ, and the only thing standing in the way of an investigation/indictment are government documents, it is proper and expected to subpoena/request those documents. Under the signed international agreement, the DOJ has that authority, since stipulations to participate in the federally sponsored program require Canada to be truthful, open and cooperative. Enclosed is a reply from the Canadian Minister of Health, Alan Rock, (who is responsible to said program) when I, (a private citizen) requested the documentation to be turned over to the FBI for the purposes of aiding a criminal investigation. Minister Rock has refused this material to a private citizen, but since your job is to investigate the integrity – wrong doing of public figures (the people responsible to this international program – the Inspector General and the Health Minister – and people under them) you have the authority and responsibility to request these documents as they are essential: you have a right to these documents. The documents do exist, and (their existence) they are the factual basis for investigating violations of integrity, misconduct, and a criminal indictment; such a request, especially when they will prove federal fraud is proper, and a responsibility of your office (to do so). Not to do so would be, in effect, covering up the crime. The legal duty and precedence exists.

Allegation of Cover-up Motive

That cancer research was blocked so work described in derogatory terms could receive U.S. scholarship monies is an outrage, which a criminal investigation would bring to the public's attention. Public exposure would lead to a Congressional Inquiry causing embarrassment and ending political careers in both countries. This is a powerful motive to <u>WASTE TIME</u> so the crime drifts into obscurity.

Alleged Acts of Obstruction

- 1. The Canadian Health Minister, Alan Rock, by refusing the release of material proving criminal activity is wasting time! His responsibility his obligation to the public and office is to expose misconduct (a lesser violation compared to a felony) and call a public inquiry. If E.A. Greenhalgh goes through all the FOI channels (and is over ruled by government any ways) years could pass. Therefore, the Health Minister's refusal constitutes obstruction.
- 2. If the DOJ refuses to act unless "spoon fed" material by a private citizen it appears "their hands are tied". This is false: given <u>reasonable</u> evidence of misconduct by officials (to cover up) and the serious allegations of federal felony fraud, the DOJ has a <u>duty</u> to request any materials that could reasonably be used to prove the fraud.

Accepting points 1) and 2) at face value, this alleges <u>COLLUSION</u> between the governments of Canada and the United States to avoid the serious consequences such a criminal fraud investigation would cause.

The <u>only</u> way the Deputy Chief of Public Integrity can avoid the allegation of a lack of integrity is to perform the duties of the office and request the necessary evidence from the Canadian Health Minister. That would put to rest the allegations against your personal involvement in a conspiracy to cover-up.

As to your investigation of public figures, the people who are responsible for insuring the truthfulness of federal programs, signed assurances, compliance with regulations and expectations are whom you are investigating. Who failed. Who lied.

What joint federal program and what U.S. federal money for said program. You are investigating personnel under the Inspector General's Office (HHS/NIH) and the Canadian Health Ministry. Why was the misconduct <u>not</u> caught in Canada, and how was it allowed to escalate into federal felony fraud? Also returned to this end is the booklet, "Corruption and Lying for U.S. Federal Monies Blocked Cancer Research: Failure to Protect the Public." Personnel under the IGO are named, but there are more. Both Governments' personnel are alleged to be involved in promoting misconduct which was actually federal fraud in direct violations of a federal program where the stipulations were quite clear and demanded high standards. Both parties ended up covering up, rather than exposing corruption as the rules demanded.

It is that simple: either request the necessary documents, or be seen as part of a conspiracy to coverup. The fraudulent acquisition of U.S. monies from a federal program is the felony crime, but the real issue has always been accountability to the public good. The documented evidence proves that cancer research was blocked for fraud to occur in order to protect research that is described as so bad that derogatory terms had to be used. Emphasize that blocking cancer research is obscene, but what the U.S. government must explain to the American public is why they would allow cancer research to be blocked for shit!! That is about as blunt as can be, but everyone is in denial and avoids the issue: that is exactly how official documents recorded the very low standards of research (that applied for scholarship monies). DELIBERATE

MISREPRESENTATION IS LYING. <u>LYING FOR MONEY IS FRAUD!</u> Please answer the following question so the public will not be mistaken by your answer/actions:

Q. Would the U.S. Federal Government <u>knowingly</u> give away the taxpayers' monies for "shit", if they weren't lied to?

(Please realize that due previous failures of officials to truthfully and ethically do their jobs, it has been necessary to ask for written answers to questions to prove this <u>dereliction of duty</u>. Agencies you will be investigating.)

I am sorry if you are offended; however, all criminal acts are offensive, <u>BUT</u> blocking cancer research is <u>especially obscene</u>, and the people who should be the most offended are the families of people who have died from cancer and TRUSTED their government to use their taxes to find answers to cancer. They TRUSTED the offices under the Inspector General (HHS/NIH) not to betray them with obscene acts of misconduct. And worst still, to be part of a conspiracy to cover-up.

The FACTS support all of my allegations. The only way to AVOID the facts is to refuse to request the necessary documents (proof) from the Minister of Health, but then you will be proving (to the public) that

you, too, are part of the cover-up conspiracy. However, the fraud and suppression of cancer research is not the <u>only</u> issue: protecting the public is the other. The Law's real value is as a <u>deterrent</u> preventing harm. Science (or the <u>business</u> of science) appears to be developing a "criminal mentality", science will do what science wants irregardless of regulations, the law or harm to the public. <u>No accountability</u>. The <u>fact</u> that the University of Waterloo can give deliberately and blatantly <u>false signed assurances</u> on federal forms (i.e. – please read "James Kalbfleisch Lied…) and violate federal programs regulations with <u>impunity</u>, confident that <u>political</u> friends will obstruct justice (a' la Marcus Rich) is a threat to society. The "Formal Letter" enclosed will outline threats to the National Security and Economy.

Please read the <u>pertinent</u> material sent to Sgt. Croal of the RCMP (Feb. 01; titled, Fear of Cover-up) and answer the questions he is asked to in writing as they prove the fraud. These are much the same questions that Mr. Dockery (under the IGO) <u>failed</u> to answer (hence proof of a cover-up). And please be aware, like the RCMP, this material is meant for public disclosure and scrutiny on the Internet: the public and the legal community. Corruption does not succeed when exposed: the issues are that important to the public good (as noted in all my correspondence).

An extensive paper trail documents all the misconduct by many officials in both countries: all evidence can't be presented in one letter. Personal interviews will greatly enlighten the DOJ investigators, but it will be very convenient if these could be held at consulate offices, Toronto for example. Please review the additional material in the "Formal Letter" as issues of National Security and Economy are addressed. A copy will be sent to the U.S. Congress House Committee on Energy and Commerce.

Thank you.

Yours truly,

Edward A. Greenhalgh

copy: Sgt. Cam Croal, RCMP