

FORMAL LETTER

to:

Deputy Chief Jo Ann Farrington
Dept. of Public Integrity
The Dept. of Justice of the United States

07 June 2001

from:

E. A. Greenhalgh

Title: FAILURE OF INTEGRITY AND TRUTHFULNESS

WHEN PARTICIPATING IN A FEDERAL PROGRAM BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO AND THE GOVERNMENT OF CANADA CAUSED PERSONNEL UNDER THE OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL TO BE INVOLVED IN CONSPIRACY TO COVER UP MISCONDUCT AND FRAUD.

Evidence of Scientific and Academic Misconduct that was Premeditated Federal Fraud and Caused the Suppression of Research Valuable to Cancer.

Failure of Integrity in Federally Regulated Programs Cause Harm.

False Assurances given so to participate in Federal Programs are Premeditated Lies. Lying in Order to receive Federal Monies is Felony Fraud. There are NO Statutes of Limitations for Fraud Against the U.S. Federal Government.

PROVE THE LIE AND YOU PROVE THE FRAUD!

PREAMBLE

Federal monies are granted by federal programs to accomplish the goals defined by the programs (not private favours): including the requirement to truthfully honour all of the governing regulations and expectations. Fraud is lying to these programs (the deliberate ignoring of requirements and expectations) so to acquire the monies and benefits associated with the program that otherwise would not be granted had the applicants told the full and complete truth (a requirement and expectation). The loss of money is minor when compared to what is lost in research and life. Therefore, if these programs are to prevent harm, they must have MEANINGFUL DETERRENTS to prevent the fraud: of both money and research. The deterrents must actually be enforced because the programs in question do have penalties written into them for misconduct etc. And the law must be enforced.

A DETERRENT TO BE EFFECTIVE IN PROTECTING SOCIETY MUST SEND A MESSAGE THAT NO MATTER THE CONSPIRACY TO EVADE JUSTICE, THAT THE REGULATIONS EXIST BECAUSE THEY ARE SO IMPORTANT TO HEALTH AND SAFETY, THERE IS NO ESCAPING THE CONSEQUENCES OF WRONG DOING: PENALTIES WILL BE ENFORCED.

Conversely, society may have to be made aware that federal grants are just a specialized form of “money laundering” so the unqualified children of the privileged elite and politically connected have a specialized federally funded job creation program. Federal regulations under the IGO are just “window dressing so peoples sensitivities,” i.e. Affirmative Action, “will not be offended.

Science – institutions and science-based companies appear to be developing “criminal mentalities”: above the law with no social responsibilities other than acquiring money.

Precedent: The Terry Nichols Defence

1. The blood products company when told by their scientist that live HIV may be present used a confidentiality clause to silence the scientist (to disguise the harm so paper assurances of safety would appear truthful). They shipped the blood, made money and children died.

Claim (disguised truth): they could not be 100% sure AIDS was present, and therefore were not sure children would die.

The Terry Nichols defence argued that Mr. Nichols had no way of knowing that McVeigh would harm people. Note: in both cases children died. Mr. Nichols is in prison.

Historic Precedents

- a) The Former Governor of Arkansas re-instated doctors licences so they would sign safety certificates to ship contaminated blood. Just because a certificate is signed does not mean it is truthful.
- b) Nazi doctors signed death certificates of “heart attack” and “dysentery” at the death camps. If you believe the certificates the Holocaust never happened.

Point. False assurances are still false, irregardless if they are written on paper requiring truthful answers, and the falseness is only exposed when properly examined/investigated.

2. Mad Cow Disease: the British public was assured by the Ministry of Health that the government’s program followed proper regulations and listened to truthful scientist when they under took a political program by cannibalizing animals into feed. After the harm, the Government’s defence is that they couldn’t have known.
3. Hoof and Mouth Disease: has devastated the European industry irregardless of any assurances given by the government regarding the safety of “swilling” (restaurant scraps used in pig feed – only 1% of all the feed caused 100% damage). This disease is such a threat to the North American economy that European visitors must walk through a disinfectant bath. Again, the government’s defence is not knowing.

The criminal mentality developing in science is a direct result of a political emphasis on the mass commercialization of all research, moving away from discovering knowledge that may be used for either discovering new treatments, or stopping bad practices to only gambling on money making schemes. Research that may expose harm is suppressed. The cautious truthful nature of good science is pushed aside by bad politicians who think that signing assurances on paper will protect the public from harm. This, as shown above, is of no value to the public, and to be explained in the Addendum, a threat to National Security and the Economy if the Xeno-transplant program isn’t rigorously examined. The critical point that the Office of Public Integrity must consider (especially since the IGO disregards regulation enforcement) is this:

A bioethist in a May 2001 television interview concerning animal to human transplants and if the government should stop or not this experimentation commented that the “researchers would just go underground”. They would continue to experiment “even if outlawed”. Isn’t that the same disregard for federal law shown by the militia groups associated with McVeigh and Nichols? The point is, no experiments would be banned unless there is a danger! And for “any scientists” to be so arrogant to disregard a serious danger to the public (like McVeigh and the people in the federal building) is absolutely awful. Will the Office of Public Integrity ignore the power at its disposal with the deterrent value of law enforcement to allow the spread of this criminal attitude? The Inspector General’s office should have been on top of this in the first place, instead of covering up.

The Formal Letter

There are boxes of documents and examples of officials in both countries being derelict, but for a basis to initiate a proper investigation a few factual examples will be used. Please be aware that I have filled out official forms (U.S. and Canada) in the past, line by line, clause by clause etc.: but these were never factually answered. A reply of, “this is the appropriated response” is a cover up, not a proper investigation. Several officials under the IGO are guilty (documented in writing) of this evasive tactic. The allegation is that there was more than sufficient evidence for the IGO to lay charges of misconduct (a lesser charge than a crime) but this would have lead to bigger political issues including federal fraud. So the allegations has a factual basis (in material previously sent) that officials were engaged in a conspiracy to waste time with official forms and protocol all the while having NO real intention of ever performing a proper investigation that would require them to enforce federal regulations. Your investigation will expose many failures to enforce standards and regulations that allowed the fraud to occur.

For the record, please note the official response of three American groups:

1. Taxpayers Against Fraud (TAF) who feel my treatment has been awful, but they are too small to assist.
2. Dr. Shapiro of Pres. Clinton’s National Bioethics Commission who noted my concerns for public record.
3. The ACLU – who stated there should be an extensive scientific investigation by Canadian authorities. Please note the Canadian authorities are documented to be involved in corruption and conspiracy to cover up. The Canadian authorities are in violation of their commitments to the American program.

What is sufficient for you to investigate is to demonstrate that:

- 1) regulations of a federally funded U.S. program requiring truthful compliance were violated, and there was a subsequent conspiracy to cover up. These violations blocked research of value to cancer in direct contradiction of the specified requirements/commitments of the U.S. federally funded program. The University of Waterloo in direct violations of requirements did not have the required (truthful and ethical) mechanism in place to handle scientific disputes (see Dr. G. Nicolson of M.D. Anderson Cancer Centre expert testimony). And to get around honest requirements the University of Waterloo colluded/ conspired with the Governments of Canada violating the terms of a Joint U.S. – Canada Research Agreement, hence facilitating federal fraud.
- 2) The violations of the federal programs was a premeditated lie. Lying for federal monies is federal felony fraud.
- 3) Personnel under the Inspector General’s Office did not perform thorough and proper investigations and by limiting themselves they could avoid the wrong doing (like the CIA with the spy Ames, and the FBI with Hanssen).

These inactions covered up the wrong doing; including the fraud, which constitutes obstruction of justice. The paper trail documents everything fully.

Conspiracy to commit fraud and engage in scientific misconduct is just that: a conspiracy! An investigation will document the conspiracy and the fraud. PROVE THE LIE, and YOU PROVE THE FRAUD! IT IS THAT SIMPLE!

A conspiracy implies that material was withheld so to cover up wrongdoing. Prove the existence of the material and you prove the conspiracy and the wrongdoing. And this is the factual basis for your criminal investigation (and indictment).

Precedent: The Alan Eagleson fraud against the NHL pensions occurred in both countries. Eagleson was protected for many years by political friends. The University of Waterloo has been shielded for many years by political friends.

The University of Waterloo/Ministry of Health Fraud Conspiracy and Collusions to Cover Up.

The fact that the evidence exists is the basis to investigate. The police routinely request alleged criminals to turn over documents to be used in evidence, which leads to indictments and convictions. Routine.

Fact: The research upon which the Administrators of the University of Waterloo have Signed Assurances to the U.S. Government for monies and assured (Falsely- lied) was excellent is officially described in Ministry of Health records as so bad that derogatory terms were used, and subsequently, the MRCC grant to the University of Waterloo was cancelled. That is very bad, an incredibly low standard, not at all excellent nor high quality as clearly stipulated by the terms of the U.S. federal program. This is so bad there is absolutely no excuse for the University of Waterloo to have presented this work; the work, which was the basis for the J.C. M. Riley Ph.D., to the American federal program except as a conspiracy to deliberately misrepresent. To make fraudulent misrepresentations with deliberate false assurances. To lie for money. To commit federal felony fraud!

The Evidence – Documentation Exists, but as part of your factual criminal investigation you will have to formally request these documents from the Ministry of Health. It is your right under the terms of the International agreement signed by the Canadian government so to participate in the U.S. sponsored program. The Canadian government is obligated to turn over any documents in evidence of wrongdoing involved with said program to the U.S. government for formal investigations of misconduct and criminal activity.

The documents do exist because you can review the replies from (copies enclosed):

1. Health Minister, Alan Rock
2. The Supreme Court of Canada
3. my elected representative, Liberal M.P. Andrew Telegdi.

Everyone has an excuse for my not receiving copies, or its release. It is worth noting that M.P. Telegdi is defending (from deportation, a Mr. Oberlander) an alleged Nazi death squad member who lied to Canada; but when informed of blocked cancer research and criminal fraud he has done next to nothing.

Please note that Canada is notorious for harbouring alleged Nazi's.

Please note, both the Judges of the Supreme Court and the Health Minister are informed of alleged crime, but they do not act to make the evidence available: they put the burden on a private citizen when it is rightfully their duty to society to expose crime.

Premeditation and Conspiracy

The perpetrators of the crime and program violations are the Administrators of the University of Waterloo. The Administrators are responsible for ensuring truthfulness of signed assurances and honest compliance with federal programs. The precedents are the U.S. conspiracy convictions of ADM, Basf-Hoffman Roche in price fixing schemes lasting over a decade. The University of Waterloo case is approximately the same time frame. The University of Waterloo conspired to lie and cheat as a favour to the Riley family. Premeditation and intent can be proven by:

1. The existence of the previous documents proving the J.C.M. Riley Ph. D research was so bad it was officially described in derogatory terms.
2. The Ministry of Health was in collusion with the University of Waterloo facilitating the fraud as a political favour for influential friends – whom a proper investigation will expose: a motive to cover up/obstruct proper investigations. Evidence of the collusion by Canada, and failings by personnel under the Inspector General's Office is fraud in (the enclosed material) sent to Mr. Cal Sneed, an Inspector under the IGO. The material is appropriately entitled "Stonewalling" and dated April 2, 1998. Mr. Sneed never replied. The material is useful to your present investigation especially noted the two booklets:
 1. Allegations of Misconduct Condoned and Supported by the Research Council of Canada: A Conspiracy (refer to as Allegations 1)

2. Allegations of a Cover up and Suppression of Research
Related to Cancer Theories. (refer to as Allegations 2)

These two booklets contain much of the information regarding the conspiracy and will answer many questions.

Important Point It is critically important that you realize how extensive the cover up and wrong doing was by the Canadian government as represented by the Ministry of Health (who was responsible for the Medical Research Council – which has been replaced by the Canadian Medical Institutes of Health – CMIH). The Ministry of Health is still responsible for the wrongdoing.

From Circa 1989/90 to dates in between, the Ministry of Health KNEW about the report cancelling the J.C. Carlson grant and describing the J.C.M. Riley Ph. D work in derogatory terms. I repeat, they KNEW!!! But acted as if this incredibly important evidence, which would conclusively prove scientific misconduct, plagiarism and fraud, did not exist.

Their denial proves conspiracy to cover up and obstruct justice.

Proven: The Ministry of Health Canada covered up wrongdoing and violations of a federal U.S. program. The Ministry covered up fraud. Proven!! A conspiracy is proven by their denial of and with holding evidence: then and now!!

Please note, Inspector Sneed never replied. You are investigating the integrity of public figures; the Inspector General's Office has failed to ensure the integrity of federal programs.

Proof of Premeditation and Intent

In the booklet "Allegations 2" is the March 17, 1988 letter to Dr. Slotin of MRC where they are informed of J.C.M. Riley's bad academic skills (C⁻BSc in physics and whose Ph. D research is refuted). And "this point is of Critical medical importance since a great deal of cancer research ---" In 1990 Weighetal will win the Nobel prize for saying the same thing.

Fact:

1. MRCC knew J.C.M. Riley was substandard
2. research of value to cancer was being suppressed

Please be aware MRCC has to approve the NIH sponsored Ph. D. post doctorate scholarship for J.C.M. Riley Circa 1990 to go to Yale. MRCC knows he is bad.

And this is proven in the booklet "Allegations 1", especially pages 3 to 12 as they deal with the application forms and requirements. This booklet proves the misconduct and fraud. The documents that are being withheld by Health Minister Rock, seals the case.

Proven J.C.M. Riley was a premeditated fraud planned as a favour to a politically connected family. The Ministry of Health facilitated the fraud, and later personnel under the Inspector General's Office covered up the wrongdoing.

What the American public will want to know is why the Inspector General's Office helped to block cancer research because the evidence proves that the Greenhalgh thesis discusses Cell Death Signal Theory – gene sequences that tell cells to die. This has only been recently published in Nature by a group from the University of Toronto who call it the "executioner gene" in the year 2001. There is evidence this group may have plagiarized the theory from the Greenhalgh 1987-90 theories. Many people, including Yale, have plagiarized my theories, but what you must factually consider is the loss to the American taxpayers' that this misconduct and fraud has cost: millions of dollars. By blocking the research out of date workers could "legitimately" apply for grants to continue to do old work, and people could keep on dying. This is important, especially in the Addendum Section, when the National Security and Economy are discussed. Nonetheless, I have proven a fact that suppressing my theories has cost the American economy and taxpayer losses that can be measured in the millions of dollars. This is a very real reason to investigate the fraud and the harm it has caused. All because no one feared a deterrent of the law – they were above the law. A bad and dangerous attitude.

Please note the October 22, 1997 reply of Sgt. M.G.C. Lutes of the RCMP Commercial Crime Section when I asked him to define fraud (see Allegation 2) and he writes:

“the offence of Fraud as set out in the Criminal Code of Canada states the following; `Everyone who by deceit, falsehood or other fraudulent means, whether or not it is a false pretence, within the meaning of this Act, defrauds the public or any other person whether ascertained or not, of any property, money or valuable security, is guilty of fraud’ ”

In the joint U.S. Canada agreement, the U.S. scholarship monies are provided under terms that:

1. to promote research of value to medical science
2. there must be mechanisms in place to settle disputes (by universities in the program)

Factually proven both the University of Waterloo and the Government of Canada disregarded mechanisms to truthfully settle disputes and expose wrongdoing so they could violate federal program’s regulations so to commit misconduct and receive monies under deliberately false pretences in a coordinated conspiracy to commit fraud. Federal fraud and violations of a federal program resulting in the suppression of cancer research has been proven. What will also be factually proven is that federal U.S. personnel covered up the wrongdoing.

As to the fraud and cover up, it is directly relevant that you review the recent material to Sgt. Cam Croal of the RCMP Public Complaint Investigation, and please answer the questions he is asked to answer: they prove fraud. Please see the evidence that P.M. Jean Chretien is (reasonably) aware of the wrongdoing. Also, note the reply circa 1993 from former P.M. Brian Mulroney. The point is that the Canadian government is well aware of the misconduct associated with the University of Waterloo, but because of strong political ties to the university, has a strong motive to cover up. This is a bad and dangerous attitude and will be discussed in the Addendum re: University of Guelph and Monsanto and threats to the U.S. Economy etc.

You have been asked to answer the (Croal) questions because I have found both countries’ personnel involved in a cover up and there were no real investigations. This is exemplified by Mr. Sneed and Mr. Dockery, plus others (who will be outlined when your investigation requests this). Dr. Dockery was given a similar set of questions he never answered.

Indeed, he could not have performed a REAL investigation, let alone a proper one because Pres. Clinton and Newt Gingrich had closed down the government. Infact, his correspondence is dated and sent out the same day he received the questions. It was physically impossible for him to have performed A REAL investigation. This is a proof cover up.

Point: Had personnel under the IGO acted, research of value to cancer could have developed sooner. Lost research represents millions of dollars and lost lives. The critical point is, if institutions do not fear the deterrent of law or regulations they can equally develop bad science with disastrous results to the economy. Personnel must enforce regulations, or they harm society.

The allegations of cover up have political motives: to protect the Universities of Waterloo and Yale. Dr. H. R. Behrman (head of OB & Gyn.) and J.C.M. Riley are guilty of plagiarizing the Greenhalgh 1987 MSc theories; which was suppressed so J.C.M. Riley, who was sponsored by H.R. Behrman, could commit federal fraud.

Political motives over ruling scientific evidence, expertise and federal regulations for private personal gain are a threat to the public health and safety. Political motives/interferences are now proven to have taken priority over the law. It is now so proven that officials in both governments have acted to shield:

1. J.C. Carlson and M. Savada
2. H.R. Behrman and J.C.M. Riley

from being proven guilty of plagiarism (threatening and embarrassing two so-called elite universities). The withheld Ministry of Health document describing the J.C.M. Riley Ph.D work (J. C. Carlson grant) in derogatory terms would have constituted solid evidence with NO defence whatsoever. And in direct contradiction of all regulations governing ethical and honest compliance with NIH programs, both governments covered up the scientific misconduct (so to protect reputations and political programs, like the reputation of the CIA agent Ames who was a spy).

False Assertion

This was a civil matter between E.A. Greenhalgh and the University of Waterloo: not when covert forces interfere in a civil action to cover up scientific misconduct so the facilitation of federal fraud won't be exposed. Evidence of a legal opinion given to the RCMP states that there was legal misconduct and unethical acts by the original law firm representing E.A.Greenhalgh in 1987, and points to political coercion interfering with his civil right. There are many prominent political and business people associated with the University of Waterloo, with the University being an echo of federal policies. The law firm of Campbell, Godfrey and Lewtas was ethically and legally bound to launch an immediate lawsuit against the University of Waterloo.

This would have exposed scientific misconduct, poor educational standards, and threatened federal political policies and programs (the Centre of Excellence program being promoted by the Ministry of Health and the business community). The law partner Godfrey was a Canadian Liberal Senator. The Godfrey family has many business and political connections intertwined with those of the University of Waterloo's Senate and Board of Governors. Instead of a lawsuit, they sent the acting lawyer on sabbatical to Australia, thus shielding the University of Waterloo from exposure of violating the U.S. federal program to promote J.C.M. Riley at Yale.

More telling is the law firm of McMillan Birch, which was retained to handle the discovery of plagiarism by Carlson and Sawada of the Greenhalgh theories, which Carlson had written on the thesis as wrong. More telling than any business connections to the Wright family (Douglas Wright then President of U.of W) or Brascan (Sen. Trevor Eytton a member of U of W) etc. are the inactions by the Ministry of Health Canada in covering up and shielding the University of Waterloo.

The Board of Governors and Senate of the University of Waterloo have deep ties to the major political parties of Canada and corporations (Brascan, Power Corp. etc) and McMillan Birch does work for these groups. McMillan Birch was formally in writing informed by Greenhalgh that they were officers of the court and had a responsibility to report fraud. This is in writing with McMillan Birch's response, and will be supplied to you upon request to aid a fact driven investigation. The main point is that all the parties were informed of the plagiarism – scientific misconduct and unethical acts – a much lesser charge requiring a much lower burden of proof (more likely than not) than fraud (beyond a reasonable doubt). But this would expose H.R. Behrman and Yale to a public inquiry and embarrassment. The University of Waterloo would be held accountable for wrongdoing by HHS/NIH with penalties, sanctioning from participating in other American programs and fraud charges. And it would discredit a major political initiative, the Centre of Excellence program, being pushed by the business interests controlling Canada's two political parties (Mulroney's Conservatives and Chretien's Liberals, for example, Brascan and Power Corp.). It was not in their political selfish interest (forget public safety and accountability) to allow the plagiarism to be proven. Equally, Yale has many political and business friends who could easily pressure government agencies to ignore laws and regulations. Private, greed driven interests were given priority over the law and regulations designed to protect the public. The public trust was betrayed.

Therefore, to talk about a civil case is like saying there was no holocaust because Nazi medical doctors signed death certificates stating natural causes. Why didn't the Jews take Hitler to court: you cannot, ethically, blame the victim. Corrupt political coercion has been demonstrated, and a proper investigation will examine a long list of Americans who could have intervened (from Congressmen John Dingel's Science Fraud Committee to Dr. Harold Varmus, Director of NIH, and former Secretary of HHS, Donna Shalala). But one last note on the topic of lawyers and the law in Canada, please review the reply from the Hep. C Society when asked if they could recommend an honest lawyer: they couldn't. They do not trust lawyers as the public record reveals that they received poor treatment under the Canadian legal system; in contrast to how the American blood victims were helped. Again, I must allege a corrupt Canadian legal system open to political coercion.

Point: Signed assurances given by the Canadian government are no assurances of truthfulness, honesty, nor ethics. Signatures on paper are simply marks on paper and a means to an end.

As to the plagiarism/scientific misconduct all the key parties responsible for signed assurances and compliance knew it was true. After the cancellation of the J.C.M. Riley grant, the only way for J.C. Carlson to receive a new grant was to steal the up to date theories of Greenhalgh that the University of Waterloo had to suppress so J.C.M. Riley would appear in compliance with the U.S. grant. Who knew:

1. The University of Waterloo – which would include the Academic Provost, James Kalbfleisch (see registered letter, “James Kalbfleisch Lied...”) who is still giving “signed assurances” to the U.S.
2. The Health Ministry of Canada (its agent responsible to the Minister, the Medical Research Council of Canada)
3. The endocrinological journals (U.S. and U.K.) science is international and misconduct in one country can spread harm to another, i.e. Hoof and Mouth Disease; so coercion of the truth and integrity of journals is a threat to the U.S. economy.

1) and 2) already KNEW that the J.C. Carlson and J.C.M. Riley research grant had been cancelled and described as SO BAD that derogatory terms were used. WORST STILL, the Health Ministry had been forewarned by Greenhalgh’s legal counsel formally circa 1988 that Carlson INTENDED to steal his work with another student in the future (Sawada). However, and this is factual proof of conspiracy, neither the University of Waterloo, nor the Ministry of Health revealed this fact to Greenhalgh: they conspired to cover up. Unlike a private business, the Ministry of Health has duties and responsibilities to the public trust and is MANDATED (the law) by the Parliament of Canada to enforce the law and regulations for the public good (not private interests) which means exposing and punishing scientific misconduct and other violations, including international agreements (unless in collusion with U.S. officials to cover up and obstruct: a conspiracy by both governments).

Fact: The Ministry of Health had conclusive evidence proving plagiarism and other unethical misconduct, but did not do its duty. These inactions directly relate to material Greenhalgh was able to supply to U.S. officials. Nonetheless, U.S. personnel work so closely with Canadian personnel it was their duty to request documents that would expose wrongdoing. That they did not is a reasonable basis to question the integrity of their actions, and motives to cover up federal fraud.

Proven: Both the University of Waterloo, and Ministry are guilty of conspiracy and violations of international agreements. Therefore, any signed assurances given by either are meaningless. Both, deliberately violated a U.S. federal program: both actively withheld evidence that would have conclusively proven wrongdoing.

And James Kalbfleisch, the Academic Provost for J.C.M. Riley’s signed assurances is still the Academic Provost providing signed assurances for U.S. Federal programs. What has he learned about U.S. federal programs? Lying works! and there are no meaningful deterrents. Bad. Very bad!

Important Point: The Ministry of Health is mandated by Parliament (as PHS/HHS is by Congress) to ensure Standards, and the compliance with laws, regulations and international treaties: not to conspire to cover up, nor obstruct justice. Under the Mulroney government, when the conspiracy to manipulate the U.S. – Canada joint program was plotted, the president of the University of Waterloo, Douglas Wright, was P.M. Mulroney’s Educational Advisor, and his Health Minister, Bill Winegard, was the former Pres. of Guelph University. Please note the present P.M. Jean Chretien has chosen a Riley era. Dean of Research from the University of Waterloo to be the President of the National Research Council. The two universities have joint programs and support (i.e. GWC² - Guelph Waterloo Chemistry Centre) and all the above people promote the political program of Centres of Excellence: private business operating out of university labs. The U.S. has a similar program. It is critically important to realize that the Canadian government, whose Health Ministry fails to uphold standards and regulations, and withholds knowledge of scientific wrongdoing, is using the Centre of Excellence Program to partner with Monsanto at the University of Guelph in the (untested) field of xeno-transplants. Greenhalgh is on record of criticizing the Centre of Excellence program to the Mulroney government, and has expressed concerns about xeno-transplants to the U.S. officials during the correspondence about the misconduct. See Addendum for details and concerns about dangers: but ask yourself, if there is danger, why should they start telling the truth now?

Had the Ministry of Health documents been available (note, the Ministry of Health is withholding results concerning the Monsanto work too) circa 1993, not only would Carlson and Sawada been proven guilty of plagiarism, but so would H.R. Behrman (of Yale) and J.C.M. Riley. More importantly though, Greenhalgh's research could have continued benefiting society if only the U.S. officials had wanted to help society rather than obstruct justice to cover up misconduct and protect reputations. The American taxpayers pay for benefits, not false reputations. There is a long list of American personnel who acted to shield Yale, and are alleged to be, at the very least derelict of duty, full conspirators obstructing justice. The correspondence will be supplied to the Office of Public Integrity as requested. What is being dealt with here is one solid factual basis to commence a full and thorough investigation: the Ministry of Health documents.

Factual Proof: request the documented evidence from the Canadian Health Ministry as you have been repeatedly asked since that constitutes solid, hard copy Factual Proof.

All the foregoing proves that the University of Waterloo, in premeditation with intent, conspired to lie to participate in a federally funded U.S. program. Lying for money is fraud.

Putting aside the direct fraud of monies in the NIH scholarship grant, it is proven that the reprehensible lack of ethics and integrity by the University of Waterloo, Canadian Government and American personnel blocked cancer theories for 14 years: i.e. the gene sequence Cell Death Signal Theory of 1987 has now been proven by others in 2001. This could have been done as early as 1991!!! A ten-year leap in cancer research blocked so a privileged child could be lied for to go to Yale. EXPLAIN THAT THE THE AMERICAN TAXPAYER! This obscene fact is a factual basis for a criminal investigation involving a lack of integrity by public figures with oaths sworn to the public trust.

In summary, an investigation requires only one solid fact to begin, and that is provided: the withheld Ministry of Health documents proving that the University of Waterloo lied as the only means possible to participate in a U.S. federally funded program. There is more at stake than the loss of money, which is proven, by the loss of cancer research to the American taxpayer: a loss that constitutes millions of dollars and unnecessary deaths. Plus the evidence of bad decisions that questions the very integrity of American regulating programs and personnel. If personnel under the Inspector General's Office (PHS/HHS/NIH) refuse to honestly do their duty, enforce federal regulations, and even enter into conspiracies to cover up wrongdoing, how can anyone believe their taxes are actually used for programs and not private personal gain; and the public policy protecting these monies and lives is truthful?

If you do not investigate, then the public can't and must be exposed. (i.e. on the Internet) I hope you are honest and will investigate because the law is the only deterrent protecting society and must be enforced – or why else did you execute Mr. McVeigh? I, personally do not believe in the death penalty, but other deterrents and penalties; but if you do not use the deterrent value of the law to send a message to bad scientists and bad politicians, you may be sentencing millions of innocent Americans to death. I am serious, read the Addendum; scientific research must be more than a “kindergarten cap and gown ceremony” to provide jobs for privileged children. There are real consequences to bad science, i.e. death from AIDS Tainted blood.

Maybe it's more personal if you consider the remark of an FBI agent who in a telephone conversation said he got letters like mine all the time. What he meant was from American students. I have proven that research of value to cancer was suppressed, but what if these Americans had valuable contributions to medical science? Aren't the taxpayers being cheated by bad institutions and researchers who aren't honestly competing? Honest competition drives research, not lies. Lies kill people. That is the value of this case.

Please consider the material carefully, and additional documentation regarding American personnel will be provided. This initial reply required one solid fact to start a proper investigation and it was repeatedly emphasized so there could be no mistaken conclusions reached: they lied, documents prove it, and lying for money is fraud.

Thank you.

E.A. Greenhalgh