

Federal Express Corporation
Customer Support
Domestic Trace
3975 Airways Boulevard
Module H, 4th Floor
Memphis, TN 39116

U. S. Mail: PG Box 727
Memphis, TN 39194-4643

Telephone 901-369-3600



April 13, 1998

MR GREENHALGH
1 (519) 747-5660

Dear MR GREENHALGH:

This is in response to your request for proof of delivery for package tracking number 40082322671. You will find the delivery information below.

SHIPMENT INFORMATION:

Tracking No: 40082322671

Shipper: EDWARD GREENHALGH
WATERLOO, ON N2J 369
CA

Ship Date: April 02, 1998

Recipient: CAL SNEED INSPECTOR
OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR GENERAL
330 INDEPENDENCE AVE
RM 5459
WASHINGTON, DC 20201
US

DELIVERY INFORMATION:

Signed For By: G.FERGUSON

Delivered to:

Delivery Date: 330 IN DEPEND SW
5459

April 03, 1998

10:51 AM

Your business is greatly appreciated and we look forward to the opportunity of serving your future express shipping needs.

Federal Express Corporation
Worldwide Customer Service
1 -800-GO-FEDEX
Reference No: 0413013646

Edward A. Greenhalgh
265 Regina St. N., Apt. 7,
Waterloo, Ontario.
N2J 3B9 Canada.

(519)-884-3318

Cal Sneed
Inspector
Office of the Inspector General
330 Independence Ave. S.W.,
Rm. 5459
Washington, D.C. 20201 USA

02 April 1998

(202)-619-3702

Title :STONEWALLING: Caught in a Cover up,
H.H.S. personnel can not admit previous ORI
findings to be flawed without serious
consequences. Therefore, THE LIE, we support
previous ORI findings persists!

Dear Mr. Sneed:

Thank you for your telephone conversations and receiving
this most serious material (as noted in the "Title"). The ethics and public
safety are noted in the Mar. 30th 98 letter to Sec. Shalala (enclosed).
Whether wrong doing occurred can be proven by your answering (please, in
writing) the following question

#1 QUESTION: Would the U.S. federal government give federal monies
assigned for BIOLOGY to a C- general BSc. in physics if the
federal government knew that he and his sponsors (those
responsible for signed assurances) were lying? Yes or no?

That is the crux of the whole matter. Please read the letter dated 11 Feb.
98 to Sec. Shalala which contained the letter dated 22 Oct. 97 from Sgt.
Lutes of the RCMP defining fraud as set out in the criminal code. Please
read all the support material provided but pay attention to the booklet to
Janet Reno dated 12 Mar. 97 Titled, "Fraud and Conspiracy against the
Government of the U.S.,.....
please note the 18th Sept. 95 booklet to Mary C. Spearing Titled,
"Additional Documentation to the U. S. Justice Dept.: re., Fraud and
Racketeering Allegations...'
This booklet leads directly to Mr. John B. Dockery and letters

dated 10th & 20th of Nov. 95 when the U.S. government was shut down by the Clinton-Gingerich dispute. All my correspondence is by courier and the records show the letter dated 20 Nov. 95 was delivered 21 Nov. 95 and signed at 11:28 am by KAIBAI. Please read Mr. Dockery's letter dated the 21st--it was PHYSICALLY impossible for the man to honestly investigate the 20th Nov. 95 letter and answer the questions it contained (he DID NOT answer the important question); the only other explanation is that a cover up to close the case as fast as possible was under way. And Mr. Dockery uses the same "APPROPRIATE" phrase as Director Duane Alexander: so in 1998 history is repeating itself. Misconduct and cover up continue!

Please note in the original letter to Sec. Shalala former Pres. Jimmy Carter, a man recognized for integrity, is requested to chair an independent committee to resolve the problems. Director Alexander's staff can not be considered independent especially in light of the present evidence.

Please review the 02 July 96 booklet, "Allegations of Misconduct Condoned and Supported by MRC of Canada: A Conspiracy." The allegations are that MRC did a political favour for influential people at the University of Waterloo, and promoted the lie to the U. S. government.

The case is very simple: does J. C. M. Riley have a general BSc. in physics without undergraduate degrees in biology or chemistry? Yes or no? If the U.S. government can not enforce simple regulations requiring truthfulness in science as in this case; why shouldn't another Tuskegee or Thalidomide disaster occur?

Thank you for your time. I await your reply, especially to the question.

Very truly,

Edward A. Greenhalgh