

Edward A. Greenhalgh
75 York St., Apt. 1603
Kitchener, ON N2T 1G5

August 13, 2001

***Courier Delivered**
***Public Domain**

Commissioner Shirley Heafey
Commission for Public Complaints
Against the RCMP
7337, 137 Street
Suite 102
Surrey, B.C. V3W 1A4

TITLE: To Demonstrate a Conspiracy and Motive for Political Interference. Public Knowledge
Exposes Political Corruption. The Alan Eagleson Syndrome.

Dear Commissioner Heafey:

Please review my enclosed concerns (re. #1999-280) regarding the Sgt. Croal report on my complaint of the Const. Foster investigation of the University of Waterloo for Fraud. Before covering issues of political interference/coercion, there is a need to formally, for the record, clarify specific wording in Sgt. Croal's report that could give false and bad impressions.

First Part:

On page 2 of Sgt. Croal's report the wording is "verbally abusive." At no time was Const. Foster mistreated, demeaned nor disrespected as a person nor as a RCMP officer. Verbally abusive conjures up pictures of a police officer being sworn at or name called; all negative acts; none of which happened. Nothing could be further from the truth, and can not be allowed to stand in a formal record as it is misrepresentative and misleading. Const. Foster was repeatedly asked, perhaps loudly, in frustration, whether or not the University of Waterloo had lied in writing and wasn't lying for money fraud? He was also asked if the University's lying had not resulted in the blocking of cancer research, and the Canadian taxpayer, who had paid for the research, was being cheated. Because he refused to answer, expressions like "Good God, they lied to block cancer research," were used. Under the circumstances, the average person should be asked how they would have acted. Please set the official record straight that at NO TIME was Const. Foster personally demeaned or disrespected.

Second Part:

This relates directly to Const. Foster, who refused to answer questions about lying for monies (the same questions that Sgts. Croal and Williams were asked to answer, but did not). In Sgt. Croal's final report, it states that Sgt. Williams (a commercial crime officer) "feels" no criminal activity was demonstrated in the volumes of evidence provided. "Feels" is such a vague term. I had earlier told Sgt. Croal that the material outlining the criminal activity would have to be posted on the internet for scrutiny by the public and legal community to see if they will come to the same consensus, since the allegation is made that this case has such serious political ramifications that the Chretien government could not let criminal charges even be laid. I most respectfully and specifically (see 6 July 01:Major Point:Criminal Fraud) provided Sgts. Croal and Williams with a short list of questions for the record to be formally answered in writing as they would conclusively prove fraud (no feelings required). Again, they were not answered. I most respectfully request, Commissioner Heafey, for you to provide me with the RCMP's formal answers to these questions so the public can compare them to the answers that they reach. Everyone should have an equal opportunity to answer the questions; plus the more serious question: more likely than not, that the Canadian government (including the PMO) was involved in a conspiracy to cover up.

For the record, Const. Foster's original report did find that unethical acts had occurred. The complaint to Commissioner Heafey asked, if unethical acts occurred, including lying for money, isn't that fraud? The public must have the opportunity to ask this question too. Const. Foster did find unethical acts of academic and scientific misconduct did occur and would constitute a civil case. Any such case must center around the Charter of Rights and Freedoms, a Charter the RCMP are sworn to uphold. For the RCMP to officially recognize unethical scientific and academic acts at an institution funded by the federal government only proves that the Ministry of Health was derelict in its duty to enforce federal regulations and this dereliction was part of a conspiracy to cover up. The Ministry of Health is mandated by Parliament to expose wrongdoing - NOT cover up. Therefore, the Ministry of Health (to protect a political program – Center of Excellence - and wealthy friends) had to block cancer research in order to cover up. Cancer research paid for by the taxpayer, whose benefit was lost to that same taxpayer. Had the RCMP laid the fraud charges, the Minister of Health, indeed the Prime Minister, would have to explain to the taxpayers why the government of Canada blocked cancer research to help out a political crony's kid. And this represents the “covert political forces and private interests” that may have had an effect on the RCMP's “feelings” to perceive the true criminality of this case.

Please note that Sgt. Croal, at the 24th of July 01 meeting (with the agreement of another officer present), commented that if “new evidence or other events dictate, there could be a renewed criminal investigation.” And to this, it must be pointed out that his report mentions the extensive evidence of tapes, transcripts, etc. which gives the impression that an exhaustive investigation had been carried out (even though no one would put in writing whether or not the University of Waterloo had lied for money, nor answer the questions they were asked to). Precedents such as the Alan Eagleson fraud conviction, (27 years to come to trial) and the David Milgaard 20 year wrongful conviction, prove that the RCMP is quite capable of ignoring evidence until the public is brought into the process. Which is precisely why the material must be posted on the internet for public involvement in issues important to their health and safety, misuse of taxes and violations of the Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

Motives for covert political interference have been noted as to why charges couldn't be allowed to be laid which would expose cover up, corruption and the betrayal of the public trust. The public must have the opportunity to review the material and ask the Ministry of Health, former P.M. Mulroney and P.M. Jean Chretien, “why did you block cancer research paid for by tax dollars, in order to promote research officially described in derogatory terms and what private gain did they expect to receive from their betrayal of the public trust?”

- Q. Why would Mulroney and Chretien agree to cover up?
- A. Money.
- Q. Where did Mulroney and Chretien expect the money to come from?
- A. Companies benefiting from the manipulation of the taxpayers' monies in a political initiative (the Center of Excellence Program) and the privatization of research funded by public tax dollars.

The misuse of the Biotech program for personal, private gain: a sophisticated money laundering scheme to put tax dollars into private pockets (precedent: Supreme Court judge money laundering for the mob).

- Q. How is this done?
- A. Example, upon leaving office, Brian Mulroney sits on the board of directors of many companies (which benefited from his favours): payment is thousands of dollars per board. Brian Mulroney may be raking in millions from the arrangement (which is not illegal).
- Q. How does Brian Mulroney harm the taxpayer by this arrangement?
- A. By the misuse of the Center of Excellence program and blocking research paid for by the public/taxpayer, so that companies can have exclusive patent rights so to raise prices, i.e., on cancer drugs that otherwise could cost pennies to produce. Example: in the 90's ADM was convicted of price fixing. Brian Mulroney is on the board of directors of ADM, and he also chaired a committee that helped to affix the penalty ADM paid.
So what?

ADM is heavily into Bio-Genetic Engineering and control of genetic patents etc. Not just ADM, but all bio-tech companies expect to make huge profits by owning the exclusive patent rights to gene sequences; i.e., for new crops sold world wide.

Circa 1987-1990, Greenhalgh informed the Ministry of Health about scientific misconduct at the Center of Excellence, called the University of Waterloo (note Jeff Foster's reply for Brian Mulroney; the former P.M. was quite aware). Greenhalgh was critical of biotech companies, especially the misuse of public monies, citing that any discoveries made by public monies should go back to the taxpayer in order to fund further research, thus saving the taxpayer money.

Fact: The Ministry of Health is guilty of dereliction of duty and betraying the public trust by not enforcing its Parliamentary Mandate. The Ministry of Health is guilty of lying to the public when it says it will enforce regulations when it knows of wrongdoing etc.

Q. What was Bill C22 of the Mulroney government (also supported by Chretien), the 20 year patent protection act?

A. To meet free trade agreements, Canada had to match the 20 year protection that the U.S. extends to pharmaceutical companies. The idea is, that if a private company spends millions to develop a drug, then they should have their hard work protected to encourage more research.

Q. Why, then, did CIBA GIEGY offer Greenhalgh an MRC scholarship to study cancer and remission, but after the delay caused by the unethical actions of the University of Waterloo and Liberal Senator Godfrey's law firm, write stating that they do not do research in Canada? Why did other pharmaceutical companies write that they, too, do NOT do research in Canada if C-22 was to encourage more research (especially cancer research that was absolutely correct and 14 years in advance)? Why did the Canadian company, Apotex, reply to Greenhalgh's breast cancer research proposal saying that it looked like "a real winner" but they were too small to do the research, or even ask P.M. Chretien to use part of his proposed breast cancer monies to help fund the work? One person, off the record, stated that pharmaceutical companies may wish to do the research, but will not risk the wrath of government (Canada and U.S.) who will not pass their products to market as a form of punitive revenge.

Proven: The Government of Canada (and the U.S.: to protect Yale) will block cancer research for money.

The foregoing proves motive for governments that are so mean to block cancer research (knowingly allowing taxpayers to die) and are not above coercion of the RCMP or judges who sit at trial. The individual RCMP officer may be sympathetic to the public and cancer research, but are helpless when it comes to corrupt politicians, who can manipulate the judicial process. This is what the Canadian (world) public is asked for help to overcome. To bring an action (to expose corruption) against the University of Waterloo and the Canadian governments for the deliberate and evil blocking of cancer research so they (Mulroney, Chretien and others) could experience private, personal gain down the road after leaving office. The rule here is, "more likely than not." Referring back to Const. Foster's original report, he did cite that a civil action was appropriate. The point remains, anyone, who blocks cancer research and violates an act of Parliament (Ministry of Health) for private gain, has seriously violated the public trust, which, more often than not, leads to criminal activity. And Sgt. Croal said the RCMP would be open to new evidence. Therefore, posting this material on the internet will make the public aware that help is needed: for the research and to uncover "new" evidence for criminal charges, but this time, to include both former P.M. Brian Mulroney and the present P.M. Jean Chretien. More likely than not, both men knew about the research being blocked but supported vindictive friends and a bad political program that was designed to put taxpayers' monies into their pockets.

P.M. Jean Chretien, as shown with the hotel/BDC affair, will cross into grey areas of private gain (how did the taxpayers' benefit? They did not.) The common links of Brian Mulroney and Jean Chretien are: the University of Waterloo and Douglas Wright's Center of Excellence program and the manipulation

of public tax dollars for their use by private individuals and companies personal gain. Prove the wrongdoing/misconduct and you prove the betrayal of the public trust.

Some of the Mulroney people at the University of Waterloo are:

- former President Douglas Wright, his Education Advisor
- Senator Trevor Eytton (appointed to the Canadian Senate and head of Brascan)

Some of the Chretien people are:

- R. A. Carty, former Dean of Research, appointed Pres. Of NRC by P.M. Chretien
- House Leader Boudria, who received a bachelor's degree by correspondence from UW, and whose sister also received a degree
- Cabinet Minister Manley, who also received a degree from UW

No doubt there are more, but the above provide evidence that, more likely than not, these people would NOT want the RCMP formally charging the University of Waterloo with fraud. Exposure will bring forth "new" evidence.

And about the Center of Excellence program, some of its main proponents were former Council members of MRCC, which has become the CMIH, and these people have formed their own biotech companies with the taxpayers' monies. Surprise! Please note, these council members who blocked cancer research for 14 years; are asking for more monies to "fund cancer treatments!"

From the previous MRCC:

Pres. H. G. Friesen, who shares a family name with personnel at the University of Waterloo. Who's interests did he protect, the taxpayers' or relatives, when he promoted scientific and academic misconduct in order to betray an Act of Parliament (the evidence proves this true)? Again, a political motive for the RCMP to ignore evidence and not lay charges.

Dr. L. Slotin, under CMIH is V.P. of Finance and C.O.O. of a private biotech company receiving taxpayers' monies. How have the taxpayers' benefitted from his role in blocking cancer research?

Dr. Cal Stiller, an executive of the Pharmaceutical Association was able to receive private monies while sitting on the MRCC receiving taxpayers' monies. Evidence will, more likely than not, prove he also helped to block the cancer research. Dr. Stiller acted as a power broker between the pharmaceutical industry and the Canadian government meaning he held tremendous sway. He could have helped the cancer research, but the fact is, he did not. He helped himself.

Dr. Keith Dorrington, a council member associated with the University of Toronto (who 14 years later plagiarized the Greenhalgh theories explained to MRCC in 1987) shares a family name with Dr. Jennifer Dorrington, formerly a reproductive scientist at U. of T. She also helped to suppress reproductive research of "clinical value to women". She covered up academic and scientific misconduct and now operates at a private IVF clinic in Brampton-of course, for private gain. Her actions in association with SORB and the University of Waterloo reproductive science misconduct, should be examined and be part of any rulings on the regulating of IVF in Canada, as they prove the absolute uselessness of peer review in protecting the public. Again, a good reason for public participation.

And the aforementioned U. of T. professors link the U. of T. president, Pres. Prichard, a liberal party member and the Dean of Medicine, Dr. Aberman (recall the Dr. Oliveria Affair and the bad blood drug) to P.M. Jean Chretien and the government's Center of Excellence program. The Canadian government knew 14 years prior about cancer research, which Greenhalgh had also explained to the Princess Margaret Cancer Center circa 1992 and Pres. Pritchard: a genetic sequence to tell cells to die – Cell Death Signal Theory. Why didn't anyone at U. of T. help cancer research because, more likely than not, many were aware (which the evidence documents and proves)? Answer: Under the Center of Excellence program, they expected to get personally rich.

Drs. Dorrington, Dorrington, Victor Ling, President Pritchard, Dr. Aberman of U. of T. and Princess Margaret Cancer Center are linked to CanVac and Senator Heuvre-Payette (yet another Canadian Senator) are all linked to blocking cancer research: Godfrey, Eyton and Heuve-Payette. Senator Heuve-Payette was appointed by P.M. Jean Chretien! Once more we come back to personal gain down the road by doing favours. Like Brian Mulroney, Jean Chretien (grey area) wants to sit on the board of directors of rich companies. “Do favours for friends and they do favours for you.”

CanVac at U. of T. is made up of several companies: Amgen, UpJohn and Glaxo. UpJohn and Glaxo plus others (who replied that they do not do research in Canada), received research proposals from Greenhalgh in the late 80’s and early 90’s explaining Cell Death Signal Theory – a gene sequence that tells cells to die, which cancer has got around and how this would be valuable to cancer research. In the year 2001, in the journal “Nature,” a team from Princess Margaret Hospital has published “the executioner gene” – a gene sequence that tells cells to die and which cancer has got around and how this is valuable to cancer research. This theory is plagiarized and this proves how a political program was created to cheat the Canadian taxpayer of both their money and their lives. And both P.M.’s Mulroney and Chretien are involved – a motive for the RCMP not to lay formal fraud charges.

Why Would the P.M. Block Cancer Research?

Fact: E. A. Greenhalgh had cancer like Lance Armstrong, and Scott Hamilton, who had chemo, radiation and secondary tumours. Greenhalgh used the theories explained to MRCC and is cancer free: soon to be (5 years, 2002) considered cured! This cancer usually costs the taxpayers \$100,000.00 to treat. What a savings to the health system if this could be adapted for general use. Is Princess Margaret afraid of the money it would lose? Why did Mulroney, then Chretien, block this research? Money, pure and simple. By controlling research and drug approval, they, Mulroney and Chretien, control their future wealth “down the road.” Drug companies must go through the government for approval, “so be nice to the PM and he’ll be nice to you.” This is, more likely than not, the explanation, but public involvement and pressure will be needed to shake loose further evidence.

Canadians Died So Mulroney and Chretien and Their Friends Could Get Rich.

If research is publicly funded, then no one owns the patent rights and development is open to competition by many companies keeping the price of, i.e., a new cancer drug, down. If research is owned by a “private” company, then patent rights are exclusive and the company can charge whatever the market will bear. In the case of a fatal disease like cancer, people are happy to pay one hundred dollars a pill or more. But if the drug only costs 50 cents to produce, that is a very healthy profit margin; especially if the taxpayer funded the original work and the government blocked research in order to justify its political program. The government of Canada did that. The 1987 Cell Death gene can be compared to the 2001 “Executioner” gene. Again, a political motive to block the RCMP from laying formal fraud charges.

The Greenhalgh research theories of 1987 to 1992 may also be directly compared to research on leukemia with arsenic at Sloane Kettering Cancer Center (an NIH – U.S. government sponsored hospital). Several years before Sloane-Kettering did the leukemia-arsenic studies, Greenhalgh had explained to the FDA - (Dr. David Kessler) about Cell Death Signal Theory and potential studies using arsenic. More likely than not and more than a mere coincidence, Dr. Kessler is now Dean of Medicine at Yale, where Dr. H. R. Behrman and J.C.M. Riley plagiarized the Greenhalgh theories on oxidation. Neither the Canadian nor American governments want to embarrass Yale, hence political motive to stop the RCMP from laying formal fraud charges.

That cancer research will be blocked by government for money can be proven, more likely than not, by the paper trail that Greehalgh has documented. Why didn’t any of the hundreds of government officials in Canada and U.S. help? Bill Clinton, was sent a courier letter but didn’t respond, even though his Surgeon General, Jocelyn Elders did (she thought the treatment by the University of Waterloo was awful). Bill Clinton, from the time he allowed the Arkansas Prison Blood to contaminate the blood supply, is interested only in money for Bill Clinton. Bill Clinton can respond to Marcus Rich, but not cancer research. Similarly for Brian Mulroney and Jean Chretien, all the courier and registered letters will prove it is unreasonable for them to claim ignorance. They knew, but there was no money in it for them. The

motive for political coercion of the RCMP is the grey motive seen with Jean Chretien when he spoke with the BDC. He can equally speak with the head of the RCMP to prevent a fraud charge from exposing the government's blocking of cancer research. The only way such political power and corruption may be exposed is by asking the public for help on the internet. To expose crime, a civil action will have to be started and only people who have lost loved ones will not be afraid of the government's punitive retribution. People must be made aware that cancer research was blocked and their loved ones died so "grey" money could be made by politicians betraying the public trust.

Benefits of a Civil Action

1. Expose political corruption.
2. New research and new answers.
3. Open patents to competition from generic companies and lowering drug costs. The research of CanVac-cell death gene sequence vs. executioner gene was research originally the property of the Canadian taxpayer, NOT Amgen, UpJohn and Glaxo. Therefore, any patents by the CanVac group are invalid and open to the public domain!
4. The public will gain insight into how their money is mismanaged. The connection between cancer research and monies donated are not what the public thinks. The researchers at Princess Margaret are essentially working for private pharmaceutical companies: Amgen, UpJohn, Glaxo, plus others. Therefore, any donations made to cancer and Princess Margaret are essentially being given to multi-million dollar private companies who do not sell drugs cheaply. So why are people giving monies to private companies and "Centers of Excellence"? So people like Brian Mulroney, Jean Chretien, Cal Stiller and others can receive grey money.

When you say P.M. Mulroney, you can think large corporations like Brascan, headed by Senator Trevor Eyton. When you say P.M. Jean Chretien, you think of John Rae, head of another large corporation – Power Corp. The Center of Excellence program allows large companies like Brascan and Power Corp. to use taxpayers' monies to fund their private companies research, giving them profits and no risks. The taxpayers are the only potential losers.

Going back to Const. Foster's original report and comments on a civil action, neither he nor Sgt. Croal commented on the unethical wrongdoing by liberal Senator Godfrey's law firm, even though they both had a tape of a legal opinion from Osgoode Hall. Nor did they comment on concerns about McMillan Birch, the law firm who may also be exposed for unethical acts, since they have Power Corp. and Brascan as clients: - well paying clients. Hence we are showing, more likely than not, how a bad political program can block cancer research, misuse taxpayers' monies and violate civil rights. What is demonstrated is private influence out of control that needs to be exposed as bad for the public good and a threat to civil rights and the Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

Why business men like Trevor Eyton and John Rae must be held up to public inquiry is because they are misusing their power and influence. Canadians have not necessarily supported all the privatization of public services. Given the deaths in Walkerton and other failings, privatization has not always been the answer. But businesses, like Brascan and Power Corp., do not exist for the public good, but to make profit for Trevor Eyton and John Rae. So how do they expect to make money from the Center of Excellence Scheme?

Nobel prize winner, Dr. Kary Mullis has stated that most cancer researchers are lying to keep their funding (for outdated work). Dr. Mullis also said that the work for which he received the Nobel prize will be owned (patent) by the drug company for the next 20 years. Intellectual property rights are where the money is and Brascan, Power Corp., and other businesses want control of these rights to make profit: not for the public good (otherwise why were my cancer theories blocked?) By creating illusions of the Centers of Excellence, they give the impression of many new businesses competing to rush discoveries, to cure i.e., cancer, and to market. As proven by the former MRCC members and their new companies, they block more research than they've created. Nonetheless, every "Biotech Company" consisting of an originally taxpayer funded professor and lab on every university CAN NOT market anything without the approval of

government! To get approval, “the Biotech company” will have to associate with a government approved partner: just like CanVac at Princess Margaret is with Amgen, UpJohn, Glasxo, etc. So what you essentially end up with (as outlined in my original complaint to P.M. Brian Mulroney; then after 1993, the same material sent to P.M. Jean Chretien) is publicly funded research being given to and owned for 20 years by large private companies (who have risked no capital, who can sell a drug that cost pennies to produce for hundreds of dollars, with no real benefit to the taxpayer and with a bigger expense placed on the health system). Hence, you see large corporations like Brascan and Power Corp. successfully manipulating tax dollars for private profit at the taxpayers’ expense.

And because the original Greenhalgh complaints pointed out so many flaws in the Center of Excellence, the University of Waterloo, and consequently, the governments whole research privatization agenda, the RCMP could not even be permitted to lay formal charges. The resultant public exposure could lead to formal inquiries and other investigations of many public figures.

A public inquiry would prove that both Canadian and American taxpayers are being cheated. Everyone wants the patent rights to gene sequences. The Greenhalgh research was not only a threat to the privatization agenda in Canada, but the same process in the U.S. as well. Pres. Bill Clinton not only received money from Marcus Rich, but pharmaceutical companies, like Monsanto, who want to patent genes (Monsanto is at the University of Guelph, Canada too). Part of the cancer theories explained to both Canada and U.S. governments, was that genetic research was more important than what was being funded at the University of Waterloo and Yale. The University of Waterloo work would be officially recognized in derogatory terms, and Greenhalgh is historically proven correct. Cell death signal sequences were explained to pharmaceuticals and the U.S. government, suggesting that computers could be used to map genes. The men at NIH who developed the Human Genome project may have read Greenhalgh’s theories. Nonetheless, a further extension of the Greenhalgh theories is that genomes are merely mathematical constructs, and all life sequences can be repeated. We can mathematically create a T.Rex. You will have to read the proposal, but the point is, no one can patent mathematics. Gene sequences will be public domain, making drug production more competitive with great savings to the public. Unfortunately, people who expect to raise prices by controlling genes will not – a very powerful motive for black listing and suppression of research of value to society and a motive to block the RCMP, whose fraud charges would expose everyone to public scrutiny. It would expose serious flaws in biotech privatization of universities as a fancy money laundering scheme for individuals to gain control of publicly funded research.

And another reason for political interference in an RCMP investigation is that formal fraud charges would prove that the government deliberately lies to the public about its science programs and the enforcement of standards and regulations. It would undermine the government stance about the Blood Scandal that government does not withhold evidence and has high standards. All the Health Ministers (from Brian Mulroney to Jean Chretien) and the MRCC council members have lied and failed to reveal evidence and enforce regulations. The Ministry of Health continues to withhold documents that will definitely prove plagiarism by the University of Waterloo, H. R. Behrman at Yale and University of Toronto group that published the executioner gene.

Bottom Line: The Ministry of Health is guilty of lying and failure to enforce its federal mandate and regulations in order to cover up for friends of politicians, not for the taxpayer’s benefit.

Point: If the Ministry of Health will lie to cover up failures of one federal program, then it will lie to protect failings of other programs. Programs that could cost the public their lives. The Ministry of Health may be lying about the safety of biotechnology and genetic engineering. The Greenhalgh theories have all been proven correct, and this is one area of concern. Greenhalgh having proven that the Federal Health Ministry has lied and violated federal standards, it is more likely than not that the federal government has interfered with the RCMP in laying fraud charges. And this interference goes all the way to the PMO: Jean Chretien.

Lying about biotech by the Health Ministry can kill people. Hence the need for vigorous examination by an independent, truthful, researcher (therefore the lawsuit seeks funds for the research). The government has withheld results of bad biotech experiments, just like Health Minister Alan Rock is

withholding evidence of bad research at the University of Waterloo. He should be proceeding with an inquiry into wrongdoing and as for the former council members of MRCC, they all knew about the misconduct and contributed to obstruction by withholding information and covering up. They violated the public trust and oaths of office to uphold a Mandate. All council members were responsible as individuals of conscience for their own actions. If they were afraid to speak up about one political program, they are also afraid to speak up about dangers to the public safety. People died from tainted blood because officials did not speak up. So when it is stated that council members lied, like Drs. Cal Stiller, Francis Rollensten, Keith Dorrington, L. Slotin or H. G. Friesen, it is meant in the very same way for people who did not speak up about Nazi atrocities, thalidomide or HIV in the blood. The responsibility of these people and the Health Ministry were to expose wrongdoing, not cover up and block cancer research. The public should be able to consider these points and ask the PMO to explain.

A concern is that the RCMP and judges may lie to protect the PMO without public scrutiny. Such appears to be the concensus from the APEC inquiry, but this time, with the University of Waterloo lying, the documented evidence proves the government's and the Prime Minister's knowledge. The public must ask why the PMO chose NOT to help cancer research.

This entire affair revolves around corruption and lying by public officials and people with positions of trust. A motive for the RCMP not to lay charges.

- Historical precedent: cases of abuse are often long drawn out affairs (taking years to expose) covered up by embarrassed governments.
- Examples are: native children boarding school abuse and Alan Eagleson defrauding players' pensions.

It is important that some of the corrupt people (people who could have chosen to help cancer research but instead chose to cover up) be named publicly so they have the opportunity to explain their actions and choices (officials are held accountable to the public):

They are (but not limited to):

- Ontario Premier Mike Harris
- Fmr. Health Minister Elizabeth Witmer
- Fmr. Ontario Premier Bob Rae and his Cabinet
- Ontario Human Rights Commission:
 - Rosemary Brown
 - Remy Beauregard
 - Carl Dombek
- Fmr. P. M. Brian Mulroney
- Senator Trevor Eyton (Brascan)
- P. M. Jean Chretien
- John Rae (advisor to P. M. Chretien, Power Corp.)
- Federal Health Ministers:
 - Bill Winegaard (P.C.)
 - Mary Collins (P.C.)
 - Diane Marleau (Lib.)
 - Alan Rock (Lib.)
- Andrew Telegdi, Lib. M. P. Waterloo, Sec. Human Rights
- Fmr. MRCC members:
 - Dr. H. G. Friesen
 - Dr. L. Slotin
 - Dr. Cal Stiller
 - Dr. Keith Dorrington
 - Dr. Francis Rollensten

- Former University of Waterloo Presidents:
 - Douglas Wright
 - James Downey, who received an honorary law degree from Pres. Pritchard of the University of Toronto
- Dr. Aberman, Dean of Medicine at the University of Toronto
- Former Dean of Research at the University of Waterloo, Dr. A. Carty, Pres. of NRC
- James Kalbfleisch, Provost at UW

Plus others.

Conspiracy Proven:

A conspiracy is a group of people sharing a secret of wrongdoing! All the above were knowledgeable of wrongdoing at the University of Waterloo. All the above shared the same secret and collectively, whether by mutual collusion, consent, consensus or otherwise, came to an unwritten understanding not to expose the wrongdoing. A conspiracy to NOT expose wrongdoing, involving public monies and public institutions. This is a proven fact! Therefore, the conspiracy is proven. This is a political motive to coerce the RCMP NOT to lay formal charges. Formal charges, even without a trial, would seriously expose all the conspirators, perhaps causing many to resign and perhaps causing criminal investigations into them as well.

Dear Commissioner Heafey, I have proven a conspiracy involving political and public figures. Proven! The conspiracy encompassed scientific misconduct, the covering up of the scientific misconduct, the blocking of research valuable to cancer, the violation of parliamentary mandates: all in order to achieve scholarship monies under false pretenses-fraud! I have stated, both to the Canadian government and the American Attorney General's office, that only a few questions need to be answered in writing to prove the fraud. Neither St. Croal nor Sgt. Williams answered the required questions.

Commissioner Heafey, I most respectfully request the answers to those questions. If you cannot provide written answers to those questions, then the public and the world legal community must scrutinize the same questions and reach their own conclusions. The public will have to decide whether or not the PMO and other powerful figures have "bought off" the RCMP in order to cover up and subvert justice.

Aside from the question of fraud, return to Const. Foster's original report where he mentioned unethical acts. These were performed not only by the University of Waterloo, but subsequently by representatives of the Health Ministry. Now that the question of ethics in research, recently with stem cells, is a public concern, how in all sincerity can the public turn to either universities or centers like Princess Margaret or the Health Ministry with any trust? It has been proven that the government of Canada chose not to help cancer research, but to block it. And its reason was to cover up fraud. The public has a lot at stake now-exposure is in the public's best interest.

Please provide the written answers to the questions asked of Sgt. Croal and Sgt. Williams so the public may compare those answers to yours. Thank you.

Very truly,

Edward A. Greenhalgh

[Click here to review the Sgt. Croal material and the U.S. Attorney General's material.](#)