The Fraud and Plagiarism Conspiracy Outline

(They Lie: You Die)

The University of Waterloo deliberately lied because it believed its connections put it above the law. The Government of Canada facilitated the lie because of financial and political ties to UW. The U.S. federal government covered up to protect Yale. Endocrinology USA is a dangerous corruption of science since they would support a lie but not high standards. There are no statutes of limitations for fraud against the U.S. government; plus the University of Waterloo is guilty of civil rights (Charter of Rights and Freedoms) violations just as the Church schools have been held accountable for decades of abuse. The Ontario Human Rights Commission is guilty of covering up fraud and Charter violations. And the point is, how can the public believe the new biotechnology is safe when so many government agencies will promote lies and block cancer research, and research intended to prevent biotechnology mistakes? The public must demand accountability.

The Fraud (see "DOJ/RCMP evidence" also)

J.C.M. Riley cam from a <u>connected</u> family but failed in a physics Ph.D. bid. Without the necessary biology undergraduate degrees UW fabricated a Biology Ph.D., but his Ph.D. research is officially described, by the Government of Canada, in derogatory terms. Fact: he is not an excellent biology Ph.D. but an academic fraud. UW then arranged for a NIH scholarship at Yale with H.R. Behrman. Since U.S. federal regulations demand truthful signed assurances of excellence, this is felony fraud with intent committed by the University of Waterloo.

The Governors and Administrators of the University of Waterloo are Criminals

<u>Precedent</u>: Governors and Administrators of Enron etc. The <u>executives</u> make the decisions and must be held accountable. Douglas Wright was the President and CEO of the University of Waterloo who gave <u>deliberate</u> false signed assurances. He lied with intent and is, therefore, guilty of <u>executive MALFEASANCE</u> and felony fraud. The University of Waterloo engaged in malfeasance activities including lying, failure to honestly comply with program requirements and blacklisting. Please note, they could have helped cancer research, but instead blocked it: they chose to be unethical. This is dangerous because of UW's close ties to government which is promoting biotechnology (with close ties to Guelph University) so if they violate a program causing a mistake they have a proven history of lying and covering up.

This is unsafe (like contaminated blood/ E.Coli water) since they will lie to escape accountability and the public will die. The University of Waterloo safety standards for biotechnology are their lowest level of quality assurances—the J.C.M. Riley <u>derogatory</u> standards as accepted by the Health Ministry: they accepted and promoted a lie.

Douglas Wright Lied: Deliberate False Assurances are Felony Fraud

To commit fraud (<u>lie</u>), Douglas Wright had to be aware he was signing false assurances for the NIH scholarship for Riley to go to Yale. Wright knew Riley was an academic fraud because:

- 1. Family connections arranged the fabricated Ph.D. program.
- 2. The Ministry of Health complaint which lead to the Carlson/Riley grant cancellation.
- 3. The law firm Greenhalgh needed to graduate.
- 4. The <u>outside</u> (cancer) <u>expert UW</u> requested who supported Greenhalgh; then UW lied about their offer.

It is therefore <u>unreasonable</u> for the President and CEO, Douglas Wright <u>NOT</u> to know. He is guilty of lying, malfeasance and fraud.

The Plagiarism

- 1. J.C. Carlson and M. Sawada (Waterloo)
- 2. H.R. Behrman and J.C.M. Riley (Yale)

J.C. Carlson lost his grant. Greenhalgh's lawyer warned UW that Carlson intended to plagiarize Greenhalgh theories with another student. To regain his grant, J.C. Carlson did exactly that. The Endocrinology USA journal acted in denial when they said plagiarism could not occur because Carlson was Greenhalgh's mentor. Endocrinology became an accessory to federal fraud cover-up, and the public is asked to scrutinize the journal since they could represent a potential danger. Carlson had lost his grant with his personal research described in derogatory terms. He was a bad mentor; a good mentor would never require a student to hire a law firm to graduate.

Endocrinology had helped to block cancer research, and because the safety of the new biotechnology depends upon reputations of peer review journals like Endocrinology, the public must now ask the question: is Endocrinology the Arthur Anderson of science? Since public safety is the issue, it is a fair question.

H.R. Behrman is guilty of plagiarism with J.C.M. Riley of Greenhalgh's theories. The matter had been before the police and has not been acted on before, but now is appropriate. For the public's understanding, Dr. H.R. Behrman must be directly compared to the great scientist, Isaac Newton: neither were stupid, and both had great influence. Newton set out to destroy Leibniz over the discovery of calculus. He wrote articles (under other names) attacking Leibniz; people feared his influence and would not speak up. Newton, President of the Royal Society appointed an "impartial" (handpicked) committee to investigate which censured Leibniz. Newton, then, anonymously wrote a report calling the procedure fair, and Leibniz had been bad. Dr. Behrman must be so compared for the plagiarism to be understood.

J.C.M. Riley's Ph.D. these can be compared to Greenhalgh's work (see, "James Kalbfleisch lied"). Riley did not understand oxidation, in fact that was one of the

complaints to the Health Ministry (he bubbled air through his experiments). Nonetheless, Behrman and Riley published papers based on (oxidation) theories UW <u>forced</u> Greenhalgh to remove from his thesis. J.C.M. Riley stole the theories just like he stole the NIH scholarship.

What about Dr. Behrman? That is why an open public review is necessary. UW under HHS/NIH regulations <u>must</u> have an <u>honest</u> mechanism to settle disputes. To settle Greenhalgh's plagiarism claims, UW <u>offered Dr. H.R. Behrman</u> as an "<u>impartial</u>", "<u>independent</u>" referee (exactly like the Newton example). Greenhalgh gave UW a list of 8 renowned scientists, but UW complained that they were Nobel laureates on the list: Nobel laureates are independent, but such was unacceptable to UW. Therefore, it is proven that UW was in violation of federal regulations by failing to have an honest, ethical mechanism in place to settle disputes.

Honest mechanisms are what will ensure that new biotechnology is safe. If institutions lie, and governments enter into cover-up conspiracies, and are not held accountable, then the public will suffer from bad biotechnology (see "Addendum").

UW and universities around the world were receiving millions of dollars for outdated theories. They would rather lie so to continue receiving monies. This is bad because it means institutions can not react to new changes which is bad because quick responses will be necessary to man-made diseases: millions could die. The West Nile virus is an example of a <u>natural</u> pathogen invading a newly available environment. The federal governments can't stop it, and, luckily, it is not man-made or the effects could be worst. Bad biotechnology could create such a disease. In the "Addendum" I state that genetic engineers don't know what they are doing. They put genes into genomes anywhere they work which may be bad. The Viroid Thermodynamic Theory on the Origin of Life (V.T.T.) states that viruses develop in response to new species (new genomes/gene platforms). Therefore any genetically engineered crop/animal is a new species from which a new virus template could develop as a function of environmental/evolutionary signal control: a new disease. Can the public see this as important research to be followed up on, not suppressed?

Please note, Mendel (father of genetics) sent his papers to the leading scientists who refused to read them: years later their students did. With bad biotechnology you must respond immediately or else. In the West Nile virus (victims) they have found a "nonsense" gene sequence which allows people to die (not all people bitten die, those with the marker do). That they call it a "Nonsense" sequence means they don't understand (see, "Scholarship Fraud and Bad Biotechnology/ re. Dr. Rosenthal"). It is a specific signal. Viruses are natural "predators" (plus environmental signals) and a typical macro predator/prey ratio is 1:20.

<u>Natural</u> predators/viruses are evolved/programmed by nature not to destroy their prey/host but establish an equilibrium, hence the genetic code identifying certain individuals. A bad biotech accident/disease is not natural, not pre-programmed by the environment and would not have nor recognize built in fail-safe indiscriminately/all.

Genetic engineers dropping genes randomly into genomes may sift or destroy or alter such mechanisms and any resultant/created virus could attack all members of a population. The Black Death and directing evolution, you better be absolutely correct.

Note the example of crossing a horse with a donkey, which results in an infertile hybrid. There are <u>natural</u> barriers in place to prevent the sharing of the wrong genetic patterns in the wrong locations. Random (careless) genetic engineering is crossing these protective barriers. The West Nile virus needs a vector (mosquito or blood transfusion) to infect, but what if a bad biotech disease is water borne (like E.Coli drinking water) or airborne (like legionnaires disease) and is indiscriminate and lethal? A serious concern.

My concerns have always been serious and to overcome the blacklisting the issues must be aired publicly. It is of the utmost importance to the credibility of the new research that H.R. Behrman's 1986 research be directly compared to the suppressed Greenhalgh research. And the false assurances of safety made by various governments need serious re-examination too!

Please note the issues of Thomas Edison and Dr. Martin Luther King. The elite universities are not serving the public interest. J.C.M. Riley was an academic fraud who caused the U.S. government to violate its own regulations in order to protect Yale. Yale had been charging certain scholarship students (from non-wealthy families) with fraud for fabricating their own transcripts. Had Riley surfaced, Yale's case would have been compromised. Related to this is the issue of prestige. Princeton (02) backed Yale's list of scholarship students hoping to get their money at their school. Many students, like Riley, have parents who "tailor" their marks through the system so they always look excellent on paper. The reality is that the elite Universities are actually competing for federal monies and the students may not actually be of any merit. They are cheating children of real ability.

- 1. Thomas Edison, the great American genius was home schooled. Riley can get a scholarship, home schooled children cannot.
- 2. Martin Luther King (not a Catholic) went to a Catholic school for a better standard of education. His meritorious A beside a "tailored A" would lose out in a "fixed" scholarship competition.

Point: Society is being cheated of good people of merit through scholarship fraud. This is why the U.S. government did not want to press charges.

The University of Waterloo entered into a conspiracy and thought they were above the law so escaping accountability. It is in the public's best interest to hold them accountable.

President George W. Bush's Challenge:

You say you want to stop mass destruction. Outlined to you is a real threat that could be avoided if the research is helped. Your Dad made a mistake in 91; will you make a different mistake now? What does the public think?