

Dear Edward:

I believe that you may have been looking for another committee. Representative Nick Smith is indeed the Chairman of the Research Subcommittee on our Committee on Science. However, Mr. Dingell, and the issues you refer to, all relate to the Energy and Commerce Committee and their jurisdiction. It may be their Health Subcommittee that you seek. For more information feel free to call House Energy and Commerce Committee at 202-225-2927, or <http://energycommerce.house.gov/>

Sincerely,

*Colin P Hubbell
House of Representatives
Science Committee
Staff Assistant
(202) 225-6371
colin.hubbell@mail.house.gov*

-----Original Message-----

From: mailaccount [mailto:eagreenhalgh@webminutes.ca]

Sent: Sunday, October 19, 2003 11:31 PM

To: Science Committee

Subject: Subcommittee on Research on Stem Cells

20 Oct 2003 Dear Chairman Nick Smith and Research Committee Members;

This serious email will be made public domain on www.cancerfraudbadbiotech.com because the American public is being asked for help : so far their response has been positive because they understand my questions are honest and in their best interests . They would like to believe you act for their interests too , but the evidence indicates otherwise(especially that a person under the IGO lied in writing concerning a federal program 's assurances). Your committee on Reproductive Science and stem cells directly reflects my experiences with failures of US policy to promote research of direct benefit to US taxpayers and prevent federal fraud. Had Representative Dingel not been derelict , negligent or lazy , the American public could be enjoying the benefits of advanced medical research, and, more likely than not , better cancer treatments (if not a cure). Which is why I must go directly to the American public for help : Rep. Dingel failed them will you, too?

The direct connection to your Science Committee is the response of one of your science witnesses who said he would leave the country in order to do the research in order to advance medical science if you don't approve it. Pardon my laughter, but cancer research was black listed and suppressed by the reproductive science community . I strongly allege that many of your witnesses only wish to become billionaires with exclusive patents they get from the research ; at the taxpayers and society's expense. If ANY scientist would protest otherwise , please ask the following questions :

1. upon US government approval for stem cell research will researchers accept ACCOUNTABILITY for required compliance with high standards and ethics for their signed assurances (like CEOs do now in the ENRON wake)?

2. The American public has grave concerns about stem cell research, especially the use of cells from abortions, so if any researcher violates the signed assurances will the US federal government prosecute for federal fraud : this is not light hearted research afterall, some compare it to human experiments by Nazis, so very serious assurances and accountability are required to prove the critics wrong.

3. Why should any scientist who claims (?) to be doing honest research for mankind's good object to jail time for liars and cheaters who threaten the integrity of the field (like the doping conspiracy scandal in US track and field)?As Congress representatives , what is wrong with expecting high standards and compliance? Mere guidelines are meaningless (the DOJ told me so in a phone conversation).

4. Is Congress committed to accountability in stem cell research? Will you guarantee protection to whistleblowers who see a duty to protect society (ENRON, Alaska Air etc., etc.). Honest scientists should welcome such a provision as honest people have nothing to fear but would wish cheaters be caught before good research could be tainted.

Committee members, I have written the FDA with suggestions and been thanked for them. On my website is a discussion on the misuse of stem cell research: paying 3rd world prostitutes to have abortions to ensure constant supply. Human beings becoming a commodity product, the organ black market is standing testimony (with China executing prisoners on demand) to present fact and harm bad decisions you may make. Hence, it is responsible to provide you with my input so you can make the best possible decision. And on my website are past research proposals (to American institutions) some concerning "grow your own organs" with out stem cells which begs the question , what happens if your stem cell researcher has the patent (research paid for by the taxpayer) to become a billionaire , but knows the same medical break through can be made with out "his" stem cell technology?Who does he own what to? I am living proof that the Reproductive Science community will lie to block medical advances paid for by the American taxpayer. What are you going to do to protect the taxpayers' investment in government? In you?

The issues in this email are so serious that when I email American groups for help I will send this along as an attachment, and include on the website on the revision. I am serious , and my concerns are to benefit society which is why they have been shared with you. Thank you. Edward A. Greenhalgh