

7. Merck Foundation Research Proposal and Reply

Read these in the context that they were sent and replied to. What do you the public, think they should have done?

21 Nov. 04 , Dear Ms. Brevard , Due to your earlier kindness could you forward this serious email to Ms. Mary Ellis Merk at the Foundation as it seems appropriate since it is an ethical question and you are in the ethics department. Thank you. Edward A.Greenhalgh

Dear Merck Foundation , This email is an inquiry to the Foundation's meeting of the 10th of November and I've had political difficulties from my detractors in the past . Please note the Attachment sent to PM Martin discussing issues of importance to this topic. I had asked you to judge not only myself but my detractors as well. I had approached you because you apparently pulled Vioxx off the market yourselves, this was admirable. Regarding my detractors and less admirable characteristics , it has been expected of me to always hold the high ground irregardless the lack of resources available to me and the resulting hardships , and yet this is not expected of them . Case in point, UW's Chancellor , Lazaridis /RIM expects to make money in the US but not respect your laws, i.e., RIM has lost a lawsuit in patent infringement to a Virginia firm , NTP ; which is precisely my concern with UW , intellectual rights plus their felony actions. I point this out because I am concerned you , in your failure to reply have had political pressure put on you. Please note, how can I be a trouble maker when by their own unethical actions they repeat their misdeeds on an American firm who has no connection to me. UW/RIM's actions must be seen to describe the ethics (bad) that constitute that institutions very fibre . Please consider the logic ; others have suffered at their hands.

About political interference , I believe the only source powerful enough to affect MERCK may be the Whitehouse who may be doing a political favour for PM Martin (you are based in Quebec) . Merck , must point out to Pres. Bush that emerging diseases are more deadly than Al Queda , and to block any research in this area would be the equivalent to blocking a pre-emptive strike against Al Queda and risk American lives. Does Pres. Bush want dead Americans? Is he admitting the concept of pre-emptive strikes to save American lives is wrong ? Please explain to the Whitehouse the importance of preventing emerging diseases.

I have said politics is the problem , not money because , frankly, anyone on the committee could cut a cheque for VTT next week (more money is spent on 100 K Whitehouse dinner plate). Please realize the VTT book is not just a book : it is the foundation/basis for new research, new vaccines new tissues and new science to come. All you need is the foresight to realize this. AMERICAN FOOTBALL ANALOGY : JOE MONTANA DID NOT WANT TO OWN A FOOTBALL TEAM . HE WANTED TO PROVE HE WAS THE BEST AT WHAT HE DID . So much so he put his very life on the line to win that last superbowl. Similarly, I do not want to own my own drug company but to discover science of value to society. By the way isn't that what your founder wanted also?

The VTT project is very important , so much so I do need a preliminary commitment from Merck this week . Think of this commitment as the first step to a very positive future friendship (a Joe Montana relationship). Thank you. Edward A.Greenhalgh .

31 Oct. 04 Dear Ms. Brevard , because of your earlier kindness with my 1st email , could you also forward this to Ms. Mary Ellis (sic) at the Merck foundation as followup to her phone message and my 18th Oct. fax. Thank you. E.A.G.

The Merck Research Business Model to be Tested

Dear Merck Foundation, my approach to you has been outside of the **slow** conventional model, but I represent positive choices. If a new deadly disease arose that would also be outside of the normal slow model , but would be a very **negative** choice : how quickly could your

committee respond with the necessary new creative ideas ? Can you business model **effectively** respond ?

Toyota is now the number one car maker in the world , while the Plymouth and Oldsmobile business models used outdated ideas and they are extinct. Toyota motors power GM cars, and the new Ford hybrid will be a licensed Toyota design. Toyota has successfully applied creativity and high standards . Creativity comes from good people (like me) , **but** more importantly , the Toyota executive have recognized and nurtured these people : Plymouth and Oldsmobile did not. In all fairness , the amount of capital you are asked to invest in my proposal should not require a great deal of time to consider as it is really miniscule in comparison to your company size, yet, the return on this investment must be viewed as hugely potential : like velcro or Bakelite. That then leaves only one question, **the Merck Model** : are you a Lexus or a Plymouth?

I really do need some form of commitment from Merck in the first week of November. If your business model can not respond , then in all likelihood Merck will not be able to effectively respond to the threat of new diseases either. I hope that is not the case and will hear from you. Thank you .

Edward A.Greenhalgh

this email is a follow up to my ph. message of the 28th Oct. 04 re., **The Viroid Thermodynamic Theory on the Origin of Life** proposal

30 June 2004, Research Proposal for Merck foundation

Dear Ms. Brevard, You are receiving this email because of Chairman Gilmartin's brave words that sound of integrity, and your website said emails could be sent under extraordinary circumstances. You will see I qualify because ordinary channels are not open to me.

Please note I am asking the Merck foundation to fund a project, The Viroid Thermodynamic Theory on the Origin of Life (VTT) because your company may be forward looking enough to see its importance in this age of emerging diseases and relation to cancer and AIDS.

BASIS of REQUEST : Merck may be a company of scientific integrity, Vioxx case in point.

Counter example ; Glaxo has been sued by the NY AG for withholding clinical results.

See my website , www.cancerfraudbadbiotech.com and note comment by US Surgeon General regretting my treatment. Point, not all companies are bad ENRONs out to take everyone, you will read I say good companies support the public good. Read the 2004 revised Section. Note Noam Chomsky said a section could be of phenomenal importance. I would like the opportunity to explain the benefits of Merck funding the VTTT project first in a short project form, and maybe later, the entire research. I am a cancer survivor who used my own theories and these could be turned into practical treatments, plus other answers as explained on website. You must see the attachment of the Goldman Philanthropic Fund and Dr.Bruce Bloom's reply . I believe Dr.Bloom was a good man for responding but he failed to see the harm of black listing closing normal channels. Due to the malice, it is impossible for me to use normal channels. Is Merck a bright enough company to see the forest for the trees , and past the black listing and realize the benefite to society. If Merck is as big a company as Chairman Gilmartin appears to make it out to be, I do expect to hear from you. Thank you for your time. Edward A.Greenhalgh

Greenhalgh page 1 /Merck fax

FAX to the Merck Foundation : 908-423-1987 attention Ms.Mary Ellis
18 October 2004

Research Proposal for Funding Support by the Merck Foundation

re., in regards to the kind telephone message left by Ms. Mary Ellis (sic) in response to my Oct. .
04 email to the Merck foundation. Thank you for your kind reply.

THE VIROID THERMODYNAMIC THEORY ON THE ORIGIN OF LIFE(VTT)
from E.A.Greenhalgh; 1603 -75 York St., Kitchener, On. N2G 1T5
tel. 519-579-8320 ; email, info@cancerfraudbadbiotech.com, or
cagreenhalgh@webminutes.ca

For reference , VTT and Cell Death Signal Gene theory is found on the
www.cancerfraudbadbiotech.com website along with other material explaining the black
listing etc., so the Merck Foundation could not be reached through conventional channels.

Premise and Basis of the proposal to the Merck foundation

In 1987 I explained the Cell Death Signal Gene theory to the Min. of Health explaining its
value, especially to cancer research. Bottom line , politics suppressed its open development
by me (see email to Goldman explaining how I got around this to help society). We now
know that Dr.J.E.Thompson of UW signed false assurances because his grant was based
upon research that the Cell Death Signal gene would prove obsolete, and now it is
documented (2003) he is trying to claim "unique" rights to the Cell Death signal gene. Point
proven, Research theory of value to society well in advanced of the field was produced by
E.A.Greenhalgh. Point to Merck , my theoretical research is proven, and you are now
asked to back me for further theoretical research based upon a proven track record.

Hard Practical Fact:

1. I am holding the Adm. of UW criminally and civilly responsible for their violations of
federal mandates (Acts of Parliament and Congress which require promotion of science
beneficial to the taxpayers) .not my fmr supervisor who must be viewed as , I.e. , an
employee of an ENRON and not the administrator, I.e., did what he was told.
 - 2.I had cancer in 1997 and refused conventional treatment to apply my OWN theories :
am cancer free , alive without harm .
 3. My fmr supervisor died of cancer in 2004. He accepted conventional treatment, his own
abilities were no good to him and he died. Point, how many others in that lab may have
cancer, because his abilities have been officially described in derogatory terms?
- POINT ; my theories translate to practical life saving results (see original email to Merck).

The Viroid Thermodynamic Theory on the Origin of Life (VTT) is a direct result of the
Cell Death Signal Gene . Whereas Dr. Fraenkel-Conrat explained in 1963 that viruses were
the origin of life (he was jeered) VTT examines beyond viruses to viroids, prions and ions
and energy conservation and Chaos theory. Chaos theory is essential because it deals with
the Random Generator necessary to develop and continue a self replicating continuous
chemical reaction. That is the necessary difference between life and a basic chemical
reaction. VTT seeks to understand the mechanisms that developed , were preserved and

maintain the life reaction. These basic mechanisms are important to the creation of diseases (regulators of evolution). Understand these mechanisms and the communication involved and you can predict, possibly control disease/prevent. May be significant to vaccine development. Something Merck is interested in?

My abilities are documented in surviving cancer and on my website . My abilities are not the question; Does Merck have the ability to recognize ability that was mistreated and to develop it and theory of value to society? Merck is asked to examine my documentation critically , AND my detractors ! Base your reply on your findings.

I am asking Merck to be farsighted to fund for one year the compilation of the VTT material as described on my resume (see web site) , which should be considered as a New Origin of the Species treatise (Darwin would not have succeed if no one helped him when he needed it) , I will compare many theories and mechanisms . Given the correctness of Cell Death Signal Theory , the mechanisms will be important and result in practical value.

Why should I succeed when there are already so many others out there? Return to the example of Dr.Thompson, he was aware of my work but chose to give false assurances; people are being funded to fill out forms not find answers: I must find answers to survive and my track record is one of integrity. Also the failure of Chirron to supply a simple flu vaccine has raised questions regarding bioterrorism (see my Addendum sent to US Congress) : society needs truthful dedicated people and I believe they also need the theoretical ability to find answers to new problems(not the ability to acquire grants only). Everyone cites the importance of new technology , but you can't have new tech with out new ideas. And the tech has to be safe. Does Merck have the necessary insight to recognize this ?

BOTTOM LINE :Merck is requested to provide funding for one year so VTT may be compiled into book format. The model suggested is the grant format given Ms. M.MacMillan for her Paris 1919 book. There are many examples of scientists being granted funding to develop theoretical formats and books. The basis is the proven Cell Death Signal Theory first documented in 1987 as an original concept and now expressed by the whole scientific community . I have been a positive influence on science and for the good of society. Merck may benefit from seeing the new theories first.

Additional Point :Merck is involved in prostaglandins, so if you require more proof of my abilities I can courier you a copy of a text book I prepared on Prostaglandins as a "term paper" (close to 200 hundred referenced pages) while a t UW in 6 weeks. You may compare it to "typical term papers" prepared by Ph.D.s at Yale or any other elite US university. The results will speak for themselves.

In conclusion:the Merck Foundation has been presented with documentation of advanced scientific theory of proven value and been asked to invest in the new theory that will advance necessary new technology. Please review the details carefully. I look forward to your reply. Thank you. Edward A.Greenhalgh

Merck
Company
Foundation

One Merck Drive
P.O. Box 100
Whitehouse Station, NJ
08889-0100
Tel (908) 423-2042
Fax (908) 423-1987

November 9, 2004

Mr. Edward A. Greenhalgh
1603-75 York Street
Kitchener
Ontario N2G 1T5

Dear Mr. Greenhalgh:

Thank you for your correspondence seeking support for the book format of the Viroid Thermodynamic Theory on the Origin of Life (VTT). We appreciated the opportunity to consider your request.

We receive numerous requests for support of important and well-deserving programs. Yet, in view of our need to meet existing program obligations and priorities, we are able to provide funding for only a portion of the many worthwhile activities that come to our attention. Thus, while we recognize the merits of your request, we are unable to provide the support you are seeking.

We extend our best wishes to you for continued success.

Sincerely,

